

Kissing, Lips, and Attesting Glory

Everything about the human body prophecies—it is inseparably government in action.

We have addressed in a recent [blog posting](#) and [radio program](#) the vital testimony of the covenant whereby the male passes between the split covenant parts of the female. This covenant pattern was foreshadowed in Genesis 15 when Abraham laid out the split covenant parts and Yahweh passed between them as a smoking oven and burning torch. But Yahweh does not find fulfillment in dead animal carcasses, but in people. The fulfillment of this foreshadowed event is in fact the covenant of Yahweh with mankind whereupon the male body of Christ passes between the split covenant parts of the two-part Bride. This pattern is seen in MANY significant like testimonies, including the two olive trees in Zechariah 4, separated by the lampstand—“ ‘Not by might nor by power, but by My Spirit,’ says Yahweh of hosts.”

Testified in the human body in a most significant way, this is the like covenant between a man and a woman when the two are united into one flesh by the male conjugally passing between the split covenant parts of the woman. Anytime this act occurs in the natural between a man and a woman, **anytime**, it has the legal consequence before God and the angels of establishing and confirming a one-flesh covenant, or of breaking a covenant by virtue of committing adultery. This act therefore intercedes for Yahweh’s faithfulness in His covenant; or in disregard of that conjugal covenant, it intercedes that He will be unfaithful to us.

This covenant testimony will be key in our consideration of kissing, lips, and hair (glory). But so that you can see the consistency of these natural testimonies pertaining to the human body, let us briefly mention a few other prophetic governmental types.

We have already indicated that hair prophecies of glory. You can read more about this in [Chapter 5](#) of *Coverings*. This too we will further address, but the Nazirite’s identification with the glory that first clothed Adam and Eve in the Garden was through their hair—they could not cut it, but let it grow long. In equal testimony, Paul stated that the woman’s long hair is her glory (1 Corinthians 11:15). Thus we see by this that the female Bride work receives the glory, and not the short-haired masculine body of Christ. (We will see some amazing testimonies regarding this male/female relationship in the two-part Remnant, and even in Yahshua Himself.)

Another physical testimony we have examined is man’s twenty-four ribs that encompass the heart and lungs. Yahweh’s design of these fully attests to the twenty-four elders sitting around the throne of Yahshua—the heart with its coronary (meaning, crown) arteries—and the Holy Spirit—the lungs, the pneuma, the breath. You can read more about this in [Return of the Raven](#).

And quite significantly, and we will elaborate here, our words are formed by breath, the

pneuma, even as the Word of God, Yahshua, is conceived by the Holy Spirit, the pneuma. We prophesy by what we speak—whether we form the Word of God in our mouths, or corrupt the pneuma, the breath. Remember, words truly are breath, . . . so is that breath being used to form Yahshua? Do we speak the truth/Truth, or do we speak Satan, a corrupting lie? Are our words clean, building and affirming the kingdom of God, or are they corrupt—tainted with the things, the ways, the words, the phrases, and the conversations of this world and fleshly desires and indulgences?

What songs come out of our mouth? What worldly phrases might we use, even the cloaked ones? Ask yourself the question, what am I really saying when I use a word or a phrase? These worldly phrases and words that may make one feel “in” are countless. But in using them, they make one a part of the world, validating it, becoming like it, joining oneself to it, and compromising one’s own life and message (James 4:4). What slang or coarse jesting or cursing comes past your lips; again, even the cloaked words like “gosh” or “darn it”? Though cloaked, they all still mean what they imply. They are simply generic cursing, just under another label. Ask yourself—Can I be honest and say the real word and be comfortable?

Women, do you gossip or backbite? Men, are you crude? Always let your judge be, “Let no **unwholesome** word proceed from your mouth, but only such as is good for edification according to the need *of the moment*, so that it will give grace to those who hear. Do not grieve the Holy Spirit of God, by whom you were sealed for the day of redemption” (Ephesians 4:29-30). It certainly grieves my spirit when I hear any aspiring Bride member speak in an inappropriate way, and clearly grieves the Holy Spirit as well.

How you use your breath will evidence what is within you, and by this you will be judged. Yahshua forthrightly stated regarding the breath that comes from you, and you should give careful heed to this, “But I tell you that **every careless word** that people speak, they shall give an accounting for it in the day of judgment. **For by your words you will be justified, and by your words you will be condemned**” (Matthew 12:36-37). Pneuma! He likewise said, “*It is not what enters into the mouth that defiles the man, but what proceeds out of the mouth, this defiles the man*” (Mathew 15:11). What will you do with the pneuma with which you have been entrusted with every breath? Will you be justified, or will you be condemned? Will you use it to form Yahshua in your mouth? Use your breath wisely. You will be held accountable for every careless word.

Our mouth is an Elijah, and will bring forth Yahshua in what we say; or, we will corrupt the Word by what we say. It is our choice. For many, they simply need to be quiet, adopting the instruction of James 1:19, “But everyone must be quick to hear, slow to speak, *and* slow to anger,” fearfully recognizing that “When there are many words, transgression is unavoidable; but he who restrains his lips is wise” (Proverbs 10:19). Place a guard over your mouth and discipline your words.

In equal regard, be careful what goes into your eyes and into your ears. It may not be what goes into a man’s mouth that defiles him; but most certainly, what goes into your eyes and into your ears, what you see and what you listen to, will defile you. Yahshua said, “**Be careful what you listen to.** By your standard of measure it will be measured to you; and more will be given you besides. For whoever has, to him *more* shall be given; and whoever does not have, even **what he has shall be taken away from him**” (Mark 4:24-25).

Listen to and watch the wrong things (e.g., entertainment and/or fleshly passions) and they will corrupt you, and what you have will be taken away from you. **“Do not be deceived, God is not mocked;** for whatever a man sows [even into his own soul], this he will also reap. For the one who sows to his own flesh will from the flesh reap corruption, but the one who sows to the Spirit will from the Spirit reap eternal life” (Galatians 6:7-8). What are you sowing into yourself? Not only must we walk circumspectly, but we must listen and look circumspectly and talk circumspectly.

Regarding other testimonies of the body, in past writings we have seen how feet prophesy of kingdom rights, even as Yahshua washed the disciple’s feet, attesting to cleansing their kingdom rights. Also, Peter and John brought healing to the man who was lame from his mother’s womb (which we are as well), and Mephibosheth became lame in his feet as a child (2 Samuel 4:4). An obvious testimony is that hands prophesy of works—Yahshua healed the man’s withered hand on the sabbath, prophesying that man’s works would be healed in the seventh day, the Millennial reign. The head obviously prophesies of headship, as giant Goliath’s head was removed by David, and Saul’s head was removed and placed in Dagon’s temple. Eyes obviously speak of having the ability to see, and ears of having the ability to hear. This is why Yahshua was repeatedly healing the blind and the deaf. And leprosy is prophetic of sin, which Yahshua equally healed.

Knowing this great significance of the prophetic nature of the human body, appropriately directs, affirms, and establishes us in our understanding of many spiritual and governmental truths, some of which we will examine here. Understanding the covenant of intercourse alone is profoundly significant. Intercourse is highly impacting, having consequences for either good or evil—demanding governmental conformity in our lives, or ensuring judgment. Thereby, we **MUST** live governmentally and not by our lusts or emotions or by false teachings or corrupt society which constantly lead astray and into error.

Understanding government likewise demands that every woman cover her head when she prays, and equally forbids a man from doing so. Again, the head has a legal identity with governmental headship. But, once again man goes by lusts, emotions, and a corrupt church and society, blindly disregarding this practice that Paul clearly states is obligated for the sake of the angels. Read [Coverings, Chapter 5](#). We blindly deceive ourselves when we choose to do otherwise, **disregarding the profoundly legal relevance of the human body.**

Right government **MUST** determine our actions, for it indeed determines Yahweh’s judgment of us, who is the fullness of government. Before going to the Promise Land, He gave the sons of Israel the Law at Sinai. Yahshua equally confirmed that neither jot nor tittle of it will pass away until it is fulfilled, and whoever fulfills it will be great in the kingdom of heaven, and whoever sets it aside will be least (first Remnant Matthew 5:17-19 and second Remnant Luke 16:17). Law is government, and we **MUST** live our lives by the government and laws of Yahweh God.

As we will see here, the church in their leprous behavior also blindly places women in the pulpit, in places of teaching, and in places of authority that belong solely to men. Our nation does the same, blindly giving women the right to vote and to govern, bringing the [Curse of 1920](#) upon us, ushering us into degradation and destruction. Our

nation thereby is NOT in harmony with the government of Yahweh God, suffering greatly for its abominable administration and actions.

And, most individuals are no different, living lives by the wisdom and pleasures of man and not by the government of Yahweh God. This, and the amazing prophetic significance of our bodies and their actions, will be even more evident as we delve into this matter of kissing, lips, and the attesting glory of hair. By these we will also understand what Yahweh is effecting in His kingdom, and most importantly, governmentally why.

So, whether it is the covenant parts of the human body, or hair, or hands, or feet, or ribs, or heart, or lungs, or words, or eyes, or ears, or the heads of men and women, the body is far more than simply a natural creation. It is in fact a veritable textbook, even Bible, on government, clearly evidencing the ways and workings of Yahweh God.

If we study and give much attention to analyzing the tabernacle and three temples in the Scriptures for their truth and testimonies, then why would we not learn just as much truth, or more, from examining these bodies that Paul repeatedly identified as “the temple of God”? As you will find here, a vast amount of vital and relevant truth is availed to us through examining this temple that Yahweh Himself formed in the beginning.

LIPS

For several years, I asked the question as to the prophetic and governmental meaning of kissing. There is no way an act of such significance and emotional attachment could not hold profound governmental and prophetic meaning. Why is it that we place lips together? And why is it so emotionally fulfilling and arousing? Why is it so intimate, yet in moderation publicly acceptable? Why is it such a profound greeting after a time of being apart? By the very design of God, it has to be tied to something immensely spiritual and most certainly prophetic. This was quite obvious; but the meaning eluded me, until just recently.

I always tried to consider the significance of kissing relative to the aspect of being face to face—as Yahweh God talked with Moses in such manner (Exodus 33:11). But, that never unlocked the meaning. It was not until recently when I was meditating on the covenant pattern that its meaning was made clear. The answer was not in face-to-face, but in the prophetic identity of lips.

Let me propound for you a riddle, a question. What is it in which a woman has three thereof, and a man has two? The answer is: a woman has three pairs of lips, and a man has two. Can you identify them in both cases? The answer to this is remarkably revealing, as you will see.

First, we will note that two of the paired lips are hidden, and one is public. The public lips of both the man and the woman are, of course, the lips that encompass our mouth. These are obviously common to both male and female, with one very important distinction—the lips of the man are covered with glory, with hair. This is not so with the woman. As you will see, this is profoundly meaningful and consequential.

The second pair of lips that both the man and the woman share in common are their posterior lips that equally encompass an opening—the large lips that encompass the anus. These too are clearly lips, and unlike the mouth are to be hidden, concealed, even covered. These, like the woman's mouth, in both sexes are equally not covered with hair—no glory.

By now you undoubtedly know what the pair of lips are on the woman that causes her to have three, whereas the man has but two. These are the lips of the two covenant parts whereby consummation of marriage is effected when the woman encompasses the man. In fact, Yahweh has even provided two pairs of lips to prophesy here—the labia major on the exterior, and the labia minor on the interior. Yahweh has thus provided the testimony of a two-part covering.

You will also note that, like the man's mouth and jaw, this pair of lips is equally covered with hair. Additionally, it is from these two lips that not only the covenant of marriage is effected, but from them comes forth the fruit of that covenant—offspring. Furthermore, quite significantly, this is the pair of lips that first brought forth the male child, the Son of God.

We see then that these lips, and their holy of holies womb, are indeed the means whereby the male child can come forth. This act is not physically possible for a male, as he has only two pairs of lips, lacking this third. In order for a man to physically bring forth offspring requires a miracle, which is what Yahweh had to do for three men. Let us briefly examine this.

Adam was the first man to experience this act of procreation. It was not good for him to be alone (Genesis 2:18), so Yahweh put him to sleep, put a slit in his side, took out a rib (he had no holy-of-holies womb), and made a bride—Eve. You will notice here as well that this slit that was added to Adam's side had no lips and lacked glory, lacked hair.

Some four thousand years later, Mary was overshadowed by the Holy Spirit and brought forth the Son of God. This was the second man who had to bring forth an offspring, once again a female. It was not good for Yahshua to be alone, so in like manner He too was put to sleep, a slit was placed in His side as well, there was definitely an absence of glory, and out came water and blood—the very things that come from the woman's like slit. Then once again Yahweh formed a bride—the first Remnant Bride that existed for a year and a half.

To take this sequence further and get to our third male, at the cross the veil, hymen, of the temple was rent; blood was shed; and at Pentecost the Bride, like Mary, was overshadowed by the Holy Spirit with the former rain. They received the shemen (Hebrew for oil) in the holy of holies, the Bride; and she became pregnant and birthed a male child—the body of Christ.

But this is only part of this entire sequence, for the purpose in all of this is to bring forth the male child who will rule with a rod of iron—Yahshua. We have already seen that the only way to get offspring from a male is to put him to sleep and open his side. If this is done, as we have seen, a Bride can thereby be formed. This is precisely where we are right now.

At this time the body of Christ is sleeping, its side is pierced (“Gary” means “sharp spear”), and from its side comes the second Bride. Consummating all of this to its required fulfillment, this is the Bride that is equally overshadowed by the Holy Spirit with the latter rain, impregnated with the shemen, and will bring forth the male Child—Yahshua!

Thus we see that Mary brought forth Yahshua, who brought forth the first Bride, who brought forth the body of Christ, who brings forth the second Bride, who brings forth Yahshua in glory.

Mary → Yahshua → Bride → body of Christ → second
Bride → Yahshua

Returning to the subject at hand, we now see these three pairs of lips—all three in women, and two in men. Two pairs have glory, hair, covering them—the man’s mouth and the woman’s parts that bring forth offspring. Two pairs do not—the woman’s mouth and the posterior mouth of both men and women. Let us now consider these highly determining and revealing differences.

First, we are clearly told in the Scriptures that women are not to teach or exercise the authority of a man.

As in all the churches of the saints, the women are to keep silent in the churches; for they are not permitted to speak, but are to subject themselves, just as the Law also says. If they desire to learn anything, let them ask their own husbands at home; for it is improper for a woman to speak in church (1 Corinthians 14:33-35).

A woman must quietly receive instruction with entire submissiveness. But I do not allow a woman to teach or exercise the authority of a man, but to remain quiet. For it was Adam who was first created, *and* then Eve. And *it was* not Adam *who* was deceived, but the woman being deceived, fell into transgression (1 Timothy 2:11-14).

Of course the sin of the woman, strongly evidenced in the [Curse of 1920](#) that came upon America and the world, is to take the place of the man. This iniquity of the woman originated in the Garden when she was cursed: “Yet your desire will be for [the place of] your husband, and he will rule over you” (Genesis 3:16). But even though this sin is so egregiously and destructively prevalent today, Yahweh WILL keep His law concerning the sole responsibility of the man to rule and to teach. His work will indeed wonderfully evidence this truth.

What then is all of this speaking to us? We will get straight to the point. That which is stated here you will understand better as this writing unfolds.

The man’s mouth that is covered by hair, is prophetically and governmentally equal to the woman’s birth area that is likewise covered by hair. Why? **Because it is the man who has been given the authority to deliver the Word of God, and that is precisely what the covered area of the woman speaks to.** The woman attests through her covered birth area that it is the mouth of the covered male that will bring forth the Son of God. This is why men alone have the authority to teach. When Yahshua came the

first time (which is actually the second—[The Waltz of Life, page 3](#)), He came in weakness through the woman, whose birth area is covered with hair/glory. But when He comes to reign, He comes through the strength of the man, whose mouth and jaw are equally covered with hair/glory. While the Bride is governmentally a female work in one sense—a Mary—the law of Yahweh God requires that men must proclaim and effect that work—an Elijah.

In 1 Timothy 2:15 we read, “But *women* will be saved through the bearing of children, if they continue in faith and love and sanctity with self-restraint.” In like regard, men will “birth” the Word of God, Yahshua, and therein we, even all mankind, will be saved.

What happens when the woman, whom Yahweh has attested cannot bring forth the word of God, and is instructed likewise, attempts to do so? This too is testified by Yahweh. Her uncovered mouth is the same as the uncovered posterior mouth of both sexes. When she seeks to teach the word of God, she speaks from a posterior mouth. There is no glory. Thus, instead of giving birth to the Word of God, she brings forth dung and wind. It is as written in Isaiah 26:17-18:

As the pregnant woman approaches *the time* to give birth, she writhes *and* cries out in her labor pains, thus were we before You, O Yahweh. We were pregnant, we writhed *in labor*, we gave birth, as it seems, *only* to wind. We could not accomplish deliverance for the earth, nor were inhabitants of the world born.

This is the same message that is given when men teach or preach with the appearance of the woman by shaving their God-given and highly attesting covering of the beard. They equally evidence that they too speak from their posterior mouth and likewise deliver dung and wind. Again, there is no glory. They evidence that neither can they bring forth the Word of God.

Does this mean all bearded men are able to speak the word of God? Of course not. Quite obviously, a beard is no guarantee that one is speaking truth. Any man can speak from a posterior mouth if he teaches flesh-processed error/dung. But a man who speaks truth should evidence so by a covered mouth that Yahweh attestingly placed upon him. Does the woman’s uncovered mouth mean that a woman cannot speak truth? Of course not. Obviously, some women speak far more truth than most bearded men. But what it does mean is that the woman does not have the authority to stand in the place of the man and teach or exercise his authority, but that the bearded man brings forth the Word of God.

In Revelation 14:1-5, we read an account that describes specifically the second Remnant. This is the second company of 144,000, the first found in Revelation 7, the first Remnant. There are those who will not like the testimony Yahweh provides here, but it cannot be ignored or dismissed, confirming everything we have been noting and all that is yet to be addressed. Considering the present prevalent feminization of the church and the nations, this is a very timely and fitting distinction assigned here to the second Remnant. It is an equally fitting description since the second Remnant brings forth Yahshua through the covered mouth of the man. Thus we read in verses 4-5: “These are the ones **who have not been defiled with women**, for they have kept themselves chaste. These *are* the ones who follow the Lamb wherever He goes. These have been purchased from among men as first fruits to God and to the Lamb. And **no lie was found in their mouth**; they are blameless.” May Yahweh fulfill His word in the second

Remnant Elijah that we not be defiled by the weak and easily deceived woman, that our mouth would speak truth.

A woman today can no more bring forth the Word of God, than a man can bring forth a baby. They do not have the required mouth for it, attested by their lack of a beard. Men, women today may dress like us, they may wear our pants, they may cut their hair short like ours, they may work in our jobs, they may stand in our places of authority, they may stand in our pulpits, they may vote, and they may demand equality with us; BUT, THEY WILL NEVER WEAR OUR BEARD! (Genetic flukes notwithstanding.) Say all they wish, scream all they want, cry, object, and demand equality; but heaven has not changed and will never affirm them or their actions. In the end they will utterly fail in their usurping rebellion. They are not bearded!

How is it then that the Mary, the Bride that births Yahshua, is Elijah? Again, to what does the woman attest? **She attests to the Word of God that is brought forth by the covered mouth of the man. The man alone has the ability to bring forth the Word of God.** Mary may have brought forth the natural man Yahshua, but government dictates that men, within the government of the second Remnant Bride, bring forth the Word of God in His strength and glory.

KISSING

We need to address something here, but the only way we can do so is to first address this matter of the prophetic and intercessorial significance of kissing. What do two separate sets of lips—one male and one female—uniting together testify? The answer is now simple.

The woman's covered lips that bring forth offspring are the split covenant parts that the male conjugally passes between. In type, they are the two-part Bride that is separated by the body of Christ, the fulfillment of the covenant that was testified in Genesis 15. Therefore, **facial lips likewise testify to the two-part Bride.** The covenant that Yahweh will also fulfill is that the Word of God passes between the split covenant parts of the male Bride-member's covered lips. This is the covenant we desperately need taking place now!

Knowing then that the lips represent the Bride, what does kissing prophetically and intercessorially testify to? The answer: It is the uniting of the two Bride works, the Moses and the Elijah on the mount of transfiguration, the two becoming one. It is the first resurrection when the dead in Christ, the first Remnant, arise, and we who are alive, the second Remnant, meet them in the air (1 Thessalonians 4:16-17). And, the pleasure and affection that is experienced in the natural act of kissing, especially having been separated for an extended period of time, is only a foretaste of the immense pleasure and affection that will take place in heaven at the uniting of the two Remnant.

Having seen this, we are now going to address something that is wonderfully profound and revealing. But, if you are a concrete thinker, you are going to have a hard time making the turn that we now have to make. As we discuss this, as well as the next section, keep in mind that natural types are testimonies that assist us in understanding heavenly things. But, the things that are concrete here on earth, are not so concrete in

heaven. Oh, the law is still there, but the limitations that are here on earth do not exist. For example, we know that the two-part Bride speaks of two wives. Why? Because governmentally, that is their relationship with Yahshua and the body of Christ in the kingdom of heaven. But, we also say that they are an Elijah, and he was male. Even so, both are true. Again, these earthly types enable us to understand the purpose and workings in the heavenly. The same is true with what is about to be shared—natural types must be used in order to understand what is governmentally taking place.

When we say that the two Bride works are caught up to heaven to become one, **the law of the union of a man and a woman is automatically mandated**. In order for two to become one, governmentally one must always be a male, and the other must always be a female (despite the false desires of homosexuals).

Therefore, on the one hand we can say these two Remnant works are the Leah and the Rachel. Again, these are terms or types that describe their governmental place and function in relation to Yahshua and the body of Christ. But, when we say that the two ascend and become one, of necessity we are speaking of a male and female relationship, and this is now the governmental law that must come into play.

We see that in order for the first Remnant and second Remnant to become one, then one has to be female and one has to be male. So, which is which? Clearly, and this affirms all we have been learning here, the first Remnant is the female and the second Remnant is the male. Why? First, the female is the weaker vessel (1 Peter 3:7) that is easily deceived (1 Timothy 2:14). Such was the case with the first Remnant, which is confirmed in their testimony as a Leah—she had weak eyes. Yahshua was gone only eight days and already the abomination of desolation took place ([The Great Tribulation](#)).

Likewise, the female does not have the right to teach. Therefore, the first Remnant lasted only a year and a half, and the right to teach quickly went to the masculine body of Christ, with Paul rightly validating that women could not teach. The fact is that by virtue of the first Remnant's relationship with the second Remnant that was to come, governmentally they were female and did not have the covered mouth. Hair speaks of glory, so the first Remnant did not and could not have the glorious truth that the second Remnant has, nor could they bring forth Yahshua as the Elijah work. In this latter testimony, they were the little sister whose doorway was barricaded in Song of Solomon 8:8-9.

This also explains why the second Remnant can now teach—legally, we are masculine. Thus, we can do what the first Remnant could not do. As the Elijah, we birth the Word of God to this earth. But again, consistent with Yahweh's ways and laws, teaching will be exclusively by men.

This profoundly confirming truth we see here regarding the two Remnant, is the identical law and testimony whereby women cannot teach or exercise the authority of the man. Women cannot bring forth the Word of God, any more than the first Remnant could bring forth the Word of God. It is the masculine second Remnant that can do so, and it is men who have the authority to teach and to rule. Women need to get back into their places. They have followed in the ways of Eve, have utterly failed, and as in the Garden have brought about destruction and death in their rebellion.

Furthermore, it is extremely important and highly relevant to note that **this is the identical female/male pattern that Yahshua follows in coming through Mary, and then in the Millennial reign.** It is remarkably significant that, like the two-part Remnant, His coming through Mary fully testified of being “female” in nature, and His coming in the Millennial reign is clearly “male” in nature. We will look more carefully at these; but ladies, if you do not think that Yahshua understands your place as a woman, or if you want to rebel in your place that Yahweh has ordered for you, remember that Yahshua has already walked out the same, and that faithfully. You should therefore do likewise, not loving your life, but choosing to serve, rather than to be served, humbling yourself for the sake of others.

When Yahshua came to this earth through Mary, He too came in the position as the weaker vessel—the woman. We are told that, “although He existed in the form of God, [He] did not regard equality with God a thing to be grasped, but emptied Himself, taking the form of a bond-servant, *and* being made in the likeness of men. Being found in appearance as a man, He humbled Himself by becoming obedient to the point of death, even death on a cross” (Philippians 2:6-8). This clearly is the call to the woman, who is to find her identity not only in taking the name of her head, her husband, but finding her fulfillment in serving and obeying him as well.

In like testimony to the female, Yahshua could not govern, even telling one man who wanted Him to judge in a simple family matter, “Man, who appointed Me a judge or arbitrator over you?” (Luke 12:13-14). Equally, though He taught, His message was remarkably hidden, with much of it in parables. There was so much more He could have said, but in His female role did not do so.

In clear contrast, when Yahshua comes again it will be His male work. This time, He will now rule the nations with a rod of iron, and the words from His mouth will no longer be concealed in parables, but as a sharp two-edged sword coming out of His mouth (Revelation 1:16). And while He would not so much as determine a simple family dispute, when He comes in His male work He will repay every man according to his deeds (Matthew 16:27), judge the nations, and rule as King of kings.

So ladies, Yahshua was faithful to humble Himself and walk in the place of the female, before He would ever walk in the place of the male. How much then should you equally be faithful in your role, not following in the usurping and rebellious ways of the Vashtis of today, but following the example of your Savior who humbled Himself?

In 1 Peter 3:1-2 we read:

In the same way, you wives, be submissive to your own husbands so that even if any *of them* are disobedient to the word, they may be won without a word by the behavior of their wives, as they observe your chaste and respectful behavior.

So what does it mean here when we read that “In the same way” wives, the female creation, are to be submissive to their husbands? What we find is that this “In the same way” comparison and example is precisely the position Yahshua came to fulfill in His feminine role, as He clearly stated, “the Son of Man did not come to be served, but to serve, and to give His life a ransom for many” (Matthew 20:28). Here we find the same instruction for women, who indeed stand in the place of Yahshua when He came

through Mary. The “In the same way” instruction Peter referred to here was a servant’s submission to his master, even when he is treated wrongfully:

Servants, be submissive to your masters with all respect, not only to those who are good and gentle, but also to those who are unreasonable. For this *finds* favor, if for the sake of conscience toward God a person bears up under sorrows when suffering unjustly (1 Peter 2:18-19).

This is what Peter was pointing to when he said, “In the same way, you wives” This is the way of Yahweh—the quality Yahshua willingly came and walked out, finding favor with God, and the quality women are likewise called to practice. Thus, we equally read in 1 Peter 3:5-6, where Peter then adds:

For in this way [of a “gentle and quiet spirit”], in former times the holy women also, who hoped in God, used to adorn themselves, being submissive to their own husbands, just as Sarah obeyed Abraham, calling him lord. And you have become her children if you do what is right without being frightened by any fear.

As Yahshua fully demonstrated, this is to be the way of the feminine. Whether it be Yahshua in His coming through Mary, or a woman in her relation to her husband, or the first Remnant in relation to the second Remnant, or the created in relation to the Creator, or anyone under the authority of another, the female is to embrace their place and serve faithfully in it. And whether we are male or female, we will all find ourselves to be occupying that female, created place, even as did the Son of God. May we each be found faithful.

Yahshua likewise instructs us, “Whoever exalts himself shall be humbled; and whoever humbles himself shall be exalted” (Matthew 23:12). Sadly, the former is the state of women today. They have exalted themselves to a place that is not theirs—to the place of the man, to authority. But this cannot be the way of the Bride, who are enjoying the marriage supper of the Lamb as we prepare for our marriage. Yahshua clearly instructed us:

“When you are invited by someone to a wedding feast, do not take the place of honor, for someone more distinguished than you may have been invited by him, and he who invited you both will come and say to you, ‘Give *your* place to this man,’ and then in disgrace you proceed to occupy the last place. But when you are invited, go and recline at the last place, so that when the one who has invited you comes, he may say to you, ‘Friend, move up higher’; then you will have honor in the sight of all who are at the table with you. **For everyone who exalts himself will be humbled, and he who humbles himself will be exalted**” (Luke 14:8-11).

Is the motive of this writer to shackle and oppress women? I ask you the question—would you ask the same of me if I wrote so as to affirm the coming of Yahshua through Mary and the life of sacrifice and service He came and lived? No. None the less is my hope for and purpose in addressing women today—that they would serve with the same devotion and purpose as did He, and with all hope receive the like reward. May faithful women who follow in the way of Yahshua someday hear these words—“This is my beloved daughter in whom I am well pleased.” May heaven ever moreso be inhabited by obedient daughters of Sarah, and all Vashtis removed.

And one other important word here before we continue. Throughout this writing the failed state of women is addressed in the general term “women.” Are there exceptions to this failure in the lives of women? Gratefully, absolutely. But, with the utterly corrupt state of society and the church, those exceptions are very few.

Undoubtedly, the state of womanhood today is the lowest in the history of mankind. Is that an exaggeration? Not in the least. When else have women murdered their babies at the rate of fifty million each year worldwide? When else have women ever stood in the place of governance equal to the man as they do today? When else have women so infiltrated the place and jobs of men as they have today? When else has the rate of divorce and live-in relationships been so high as they are today? When else have women taken on the appearance of the man both in dress and in cutting their hair as they do today? When else have women abandoned motherhood so wholesale, refusing to bring forth offspring for the sake of pleasure and convenience as they do today, ignoring the instruction that women will be saved through the bearing of children. So, if you are offended when I say “women” when addressing the failures of the females, you need to reassess the totally failed state of women today. Their failure is epidemic!

Furthermore, there is another purpose in concentrating on the absolute failure of women today. Men need to take their place as men, which they have given up. Have you ever seen a man who buckles and hunkers down under the relentless railings of a threatening dominant wife? She rules the home. She sets the schedule, she plans the direction of events, she controls what is said, she controls how the money is spent, she has everyone cowering and conforming to her will or otherwise receiving her wrath. This is the way America, and the world, is today. It is feminized by the same rebellious controlling spirit of the woman. This feminine spirit stands in our places as men; it controls what we say; it controls the government, making it weak and based on emotions. It controls the way our nation foolishly and emotionally spends its money; it controls job placement and education; it controls the courts to the ruin of the family and the nation. America today has all the attributes of a wife-dominated home.

How did we get here as a nation? By the same way the Garden of Eden was cursed—by the man listening to the woman. The first words of Yahweh to Adam when He corrected him was, “Because you have listened to the voice of your woman” (Genesis 3:17). Our nation is feminized because men buckled to the rebellion of women, going back to Elizabeth Stanton and Susan B. Anthony. Men failed and gave this nation over to the weak and easily deceived woman. Men were and are today duped, hen-pecked, and like the home stated above, have abdicated to the Eveonian lie of feminism.

Therefore, while this writing is an appeal to women to see their GRAVE AND ENTIRELY DESTRUCTIVE error, it is likewise instruction to men to see their own failure and the need to restore their place as principled men. Furthermore, it is an appeal to this nation and the world to root out and correct this tragic error of feminism and restore masculinity.

So again ladies, when “women” are addressed here, you have a better understanding of the depth and breadth of the problem. Though there may be a small, small exception, and there are indeed variances in a woman’s departure from godly femininity, women have compromised to the extent that it is appropriate to address women here in general. The small, small exceptions do not nearly outweigh the encompassing mammoth problems.

Gratefully, there are women whose hearts are fertile and gladly welcome the heralding of truth, stirring within them that which they have known to be true or have even cherished. These are the like hearts where a fire is easily kindled, giving hope to all mankind. Women in past times were the acknowledged keepers of virtue and a safe and productive home. May that fire burn within women once again—the virtuous woman of Proverbs 31.

BORN OF A VIRGIN

Thus far we have answered three very important and revealing questions—the first regarding the number of pairs of lips that men and women bear, second the prophetic significance of the lips on our face, and third the female/male relationship of the two Remnant. Let us now address two more questions. First, what does it mean for Yahshua to be born of a virgin? Second, the Scriptures and all creation attest that the male receives the glory, so how is this fulfilled in the kingdom? This last question is one that has puzzled me since 1994, and only now have I seen the answer. But in this section let us address this first question.

In Isaiah 7:14 we read the familiar passage, “Therefore Yahweh Himself will give you a sign: behold, a virgin will be with child and bear a son, and she will call His name Immanuel.” Certainly, Yahshua, the Son of God, was born of a virgin, Mary. No offspring before had ever come through the birth-lips of a virgin, nor has there since. Yahshua was not the product of flesh man. His body was not from the serpent sperm that is cast down to the egg earth. Instead, Mary was overshadowed by the Holy Spirit and thereupon Yahshua was born.

Now, if this was the case with Yahshua’s first birth, must it not also be true with His second birth? As you will see—absolutely. His first birth was by natural means; and as we have seen He came to this earth in weakness, in the place as the created, the female role. When He comes again, He will come as King, and cannot be birthed from or by the natural, in weakness. In contrast to the female, He is to be born in strength through the male. Yet even so, as prophesied by Isaiah, He, once again, must be born of a virgin. Is this now possible? Indeed.

We have already noted that Mary brought forth Yahshua, who brought forth the first Bride, who brought forth the body of Christ, who brings forth the second Bride, who brings forth Yahshua in glory. We have also noted that the “births” of offspring from three men thus far have been by placing a slit in their sides and the offspring created outside of their bodies. Also, we read in Revelation 14:4-5 that the second Remnant are those “who have not been defiled with women, for they have kept themselves chaste,” and that “no lie was found in their mouth; they are blameless.”

We have seen as well that, legally, the second Remnant is male. Up to this point in Yahweh’s creation, any offspring from a male has been from his side, has been strictly female, and was created apart from him. But now another offspring must be born, and it must be a male—the Son of God must be birthed to this earth. How can this be? Clearly, we know that there must be an Elijah that, as a Mary, prepares the way for Yahshua. But how then can this male give birth to a male? Certainly it cannot be from his side, because the Elijah cannot be put to sleep—die—and a rib taken out; for in fact

the Elijah is that rib work that came out of the body of Christ. There is only one way that this male can bring forth a Son as a virgin, having never been born in such manner—**the birth must be from his mouth!**

We have already seen that the man's bearded mouth is equal to the bearded area which brings forth offspring from the woman—both receiving glory. No man, specifically the Son of God, has ever been born from that masculine birth area, including Christianity's. Despite 2,000 years of preaching, they have never "birthed" Him from their mouth, they have never brought Him forth. Therefore, Yahshua's birth is not recorded at all in Christianity Mark. The body of Christ have not and cannot birth Yahshua. **They are not bearded.** As Yahweh spoke to me in 1994, they will not receive the glory. (More on this in the next section.) So what must now take place? This Elijah Bride, even this male "bride," must equally—as with Mary and the first Remnant—be overshadowed by the Holy Spirit and bring forth the Son of God, born of a virgin—this time by the male's mouth.

Clearly prophesying this, the description of the second Remnant in Revelation 14:4-5 is literally, "who have not been defiled with women, for they have kept themselves **virgin** . . . no lie was found in their **mouth.**" And quite significantly, Yahshua is indeed called the Word, that which is formed in and birthed from a mouth. And without a doubt, it is the masculine second Remnant that is a virgin, having never before given birth from their bearded mouth, doing so by the overshadowing of the Holy Spirit.

Let us note as well that in Isaiah 7:14 we read: "a virgin will be with child and bear a son, and she will call His name Immanuel." Yet, in Matthew 1:21 the angel told Joseph, "She [Mary] will bear a Son; and you shall call His name Yahshua, for He will save His people from their sins." Likewise in Luke 1:31 we read that Mary was told by the angel, "you will conceive in your womb and bear a son, and you shall name Him Yahshua." Yes, the angel goes on to quote the passage from Isaiah 7:14 regarding Immanuel, and he told Mary that Yahshua would be given a throne and that His kingdom would have no end; but, **why did they not name Him that which was prophesied—Immanuel?**

We know that when Yahshua came through Mary, He did not stay on the earth. He was indeed the Lamb of God who died for our sins, as His name declares, but instead He went back to heaven. He was not given a throne, and His kingdom did not endure; in fact it was given to Satan—the Rod turned into the serpent. As we are further told in verses 16 and 17 of Isaiah 7, the kingdom He left here was forsaken and the days that followed were such as have never come since. The kingdom of heaven that Yahshua brought has been corrupted and desolated for 2,000 years—the great tribulation. Equally, as it is written in 24 and 25, there have been briars and thorns in the kingdom—the great and terrible wilderness of Christianity. But most significantly, verse 20 states that **a razor was sent to "remove the beard"**! The opportunity to bring Yahshua's return was removed from Christianity. They are beardless, both literally and governmentally. Again, His birth was not recorded in Mark—only in Matthew and Luke.

The fact is, Immanuel was not birthed through Mary, but rather Yahshua, the Lamb of God. Neither was that which is written in Isaiah 9:6-7 fulfilled:

For a child will be born to us, a son will be given to us; and the government will rest on His shoulders; and His name will be called Wonderful Counselor, Mighty

God, Eternal Father, Prince of Peace. There will be no end to the increase of *His* government or of peace, on the throne of David and over his kingdom, to establish it and to uphold it with justice and righteousness from then on and forevermore.

There was very much so an end to both Yahshua's government and His peace with His birth through Mary. And justice and righteousness neither began nor endured forevermore. The kingdom was and ever since has been corrupted. So what then is the answer regarding these obvious promises and prophecies about Immanuel that have not yet been fulfilled? Like so many of the works that Yahweh performs, **there was a breach!** We know that Yahshua came early, before the time (Matthew 8:29); and the fact is, His birth as Immanuel would not come for 2,000 years.

"Immanuel" means "God with us." Ask yourself the simple question: Has God been with us for the last 2,000 years? The answer is obvious: No. **The fact is**, He was only with us in His weakness as Yahshua for a short three and a half years, and then returned back to heaven. His coming as Immanuel to abide with us as King of kings is not possible until the Millennial reign. **The fact is**, Immanuel was not birthed through Mary, but as the angels declared to both of them, Yahshua was born—the One who suffered and died and returned to heaven. **The fact is**, to use a phrase that is common to Christians, He must be born again—this time as is spoken by Isaiah, as Immanuel, **God with us**, and this through a virgin. The razor must be put away, the glory of God must be given to the man, the beard must return, and man must give birth to the Word of God, Immanuel! **The fact is**, Isaiah 7:14 is yet to be fulfilled.

Thus, the item we stated earlier is more accurately:

Mary -> Yahshua -> Bride -> body of Christ -> second
Bride -> Immanuel

But you may say as a man, "I am as Isaiah, for I am a man of unclean lips. My lips are not virgin." But neither were Mary's birth lips lacking of uncleanness. Every time she had her cycle, she was unclean (Leviticus 15:19, 25). Why did a woman's cycle make her unclean? Because the red blood that flowed in her spoke of Satan, who is repeatedly identified with the color red. These bodies are the seat of sin, they are a Satan that resists Yahweh God and wars against the Spirit. And what is it that gives these bodies that life? The red blood, the same blood that passed between Mary's birth lips and made her unclean.

In equal regard, you as a man have unquestionably evidenced the same testimony as Mary—Satan has been evidenced from your lips and made you unclean. That is what "rizpah" is for. What is rizpah? It is the Hebrew word for the "burning coal" that the angel took from the altar and touched to Isaiah's lips to make them clean (Isaiah 6:5-7).

In 1994, I cried out to Yahweh concerning all that I lost and left when I walked away from everything I had built for thirteen years. His reply to me was that I was to be a Rizpah and sit on the rock with sackcloth until water was poured out from heaven on me. This is the event regarding Saul's concubine, Rizpah, found in 2 Samuel 21.

Rizpah was in fact doing the same thing Abraham did by guarding the covenant parts from the birds of the sky and the beasts of the field. This is what I have been doing since 1994. And with what we have recently learned about the establishment of the second portion of those covenant parts that coincides specifically with 1994, this that He told me is all the more significant. In the precise year that Yahweh started laying out the second set of covenant parts 9 x 430 years from that very covenant testimony in Genesis 15 (read "[The Sabbath Rest](#)"), He told me to be a Rizpah, and then showed me that I was to guard the covenant parts. That is what I have been doing since 1994. This is most encouraging.

I have been that Rizpah and guarded the covenant parts. But hopefully, I will be that rizpah, that burning coal from the altar, and equally cleanse your lips. Men, and women alike, this is the challenge that is given to you in this writing. Given the tremendous significance and opportunity that lies before us, we must have clean lips and no lie be found in our mouths. If we are to birth Yahshua to this earth, we must be men of clean lips. May it be with you as spoken to Mary by Elizabeth, "Blessed *are* you among men, and blessed *is* the fruit of your mouth!" Remember men, and women, you will be held accountable for every careless word.

THE GLORY OF THE MALE

Now for the second of these two questions. We find from the Scriptures and all creation that the male receives the glory. In 1 Corinthians 11:7 we read, "For a man ought not to have his head covered, since **he is the image and glory of God; but the woman is the glory of man.**" The man is the image and glory of God, and not the woman. This is what puzzled me so very much in 1994 when Yahweh was teaching me about the Nazirite vow. If the man is the image and glory of God, then why did He give the long hair to the woman? We find that hair is glory, so it looked like He would have given the long hair to the man. It made no sense.

Of course this is confirmed throughout nature as well, which added to my puzzlement. The stag, the peacock, the betta, the lion, all creation unitedly attests that the male receives the glory. (The exception to this is the black widow spider, addressed in Appendix 5 of *The Curse of 1920*.) When Yahweh spoke to me the answer—that He would not give His glory to the masculine body of Christ but to the feminine Bride—I marveled! This was wonderful to understand, and began to open my eyes to the two-part Bride. However, this did not explain the unresolved testimony of both the Scriptures and all creation. The question remaining then is: How is the glory that belongs to the male fulfilled in the kingdom?

Building on what we have been learning, we see that Yahweh has attested to His glory in two particular areas. First, of course, is the woman's long hair. The second is in the male's and the female's birth lips, if we may say at this point. Why do we call them male and female birth lips? As we have seen, the woman's bearded birth lips speak to the man's bearded facial lips. **Prophetically, they are the same.** Even as Mary brought forth Yahshua into this world through the woman's birth lips, so the second Remnant will bring forth Immanuel into this world through the man's birth lips.

Now, let us add some more information that we have seen as well. We have noted that, in relation to each other and their uniting as one, the first Remnant is female and the second Remnant is male. The fact is, when Yahweh answered my question that was specific to the woman's long hair, though that glory indeed attests to the Bride, more specifically it has to do with the first Remnant Bride. Why so?

There are two specific glories that are relevant here—the long hair of the woman that is on her head, and the beard of the man. What does the head speak of? Of course it speaks of headship. And, what was unique about the headship relative to the first Remnant? By far the outstanding quality of the first Remnant was that Yahshua was present with them. This was even prophesied in Exodus 33 when Moses asked to see Yahweh's glory (hair). His reply to this was to tell Moses that, first, He would cause him to stand by Him, taking his place on a rock— "Behold, there is a place by Me, and you shall stand *there* on the rock." Following that he was to be hidden in the cleft of the rock and covered with His hand.

Clearly this speaks of the church (which is Bride and body). The first Remnant stood on the rock, Peter, which Yahshua laid, and God Himself through His Son stood there with them. The first Remnant fulfilled this very promise concerning seeing Yahweh's glory. Following that has of course been the cleft-of-the-rock breach of Christianity. But most importantly, we see that the first Remnant received the glory of the Son of God being there with them.

How does this relate to what we are considering? It fulfills the very thing that Yahweh told me concerning women having the long hair on their heads. The Head of the first Remnant, Yahshua, was given to them—**they received the Glory!** Did Christianity receive that Glory? Not at all. Yahshua went to heaven, the Rod turned into the serpent, and they were hidden in the cleft of the rock, into blindness and death. They did not receive the glory of Yahshua's presence, nor His headship. Again, the Rod turned into the serpent.

The other glory we see here is the glory of the beard, and most significantly it too is on the head, again speaking of headship. Did Christianity then receive the glory of the beard? No, for we have already seen stated in Isaiah 7:20 that the beard was removed during the briars and thorns of the wilderness wanderings of Christianity. So they did not receive that glory either. The fact is, when Yahweh told me that He would not give His glory to the masculine body of Christ but to the feminine Bride, there are two glories that are given—the glory of the long hair on the head that was given to the first Remnant, and the glory of the beard that is given to the second Remnant. **The former attests to the glory of having with them the Son of God, and the latter attests to the glory of bringing back to this earth the Son of God.** The truth is that the glory attested to here, in both cases is relative to the glory of their relationship to the Son of God. "To Him who sits on the throne, and to the Lamb, *be* blessing and honor and glory and dominion forever and ever" (Revelation 5:13). Amen.

Thus we see that the glory that Yahweh told me that He would give to the Bride is in fact a double portion—the glory of the long hair, as well as the glory of the beard; the glory of Yahshua's presence in the beginning of the church, and the glory of preparing the way for and accompanying Him at His return. These two works are then united to reign with Him for a thousand years. We see then the answer to the question as to how

the glory that belongs to the male as evidenced in the Scriptures and creation is fulfilled—it is given to the male second Remnant.

While we are here let us address something most revealing and confirming. Once again looking at that which Yahweh has attested in creation, why is it that in its entirety only the hair on man's head will grow without end? Obviously Yahweh designed this to prophesy, for He could just as well have made our hair like that of all the creatures, or even the hair on the rest of our bodies—growing so far and stopping. Obviously He is saying something. Can you answer the question?

Let us add yet another telling distinction. In contrast to the man's birth lips that have a glory that is unending, the glory of the woman's birth lips is limited. Are you seeing the answer regarding hair? When Yahshua was birthed through the woman's birth lips, was He indeed Immanuel? Was indeed His reign without end as Gabriel declared? Was His glory without end? No, no, and no. His glory was limited, both in significance and in duration. This we see testified when Yahshua was birthed through the lips of the woman whose glory is equally limited.

Now, what then is testified when Yahshua is birthed through the lips of the man? Will He indeed be Immanuel? Will His throne be without end? Will His glory be without end? Yes, yes, and yes. In contrast to the woman, the man's beard attests that Immanuel's glory will be all that prophecy has declared concerning Him. Whereas there is no end to the increase of a man's beard, so "There will be no end to the increase of [Immanuel's] government or of peace, on the throne of David and over his kingdom, to establish it and to uphold it with justice and righteousness from then on and forevermore" (Isaiah 9:7). Oh the marvels of His creation, His ways, and His attesting glory! Oh the joy that is set before us!

Let us now briefly consider another matter of highly compelling relevance. As stated in the first section and addressed in [Chapter 5](#) of the writing, *Coverings*, we see that a woman is "obligated" to cover her head when she prays or prophesies. This is because it provides her authority to do so, and is for the sake of the angels. As stated in a literal translation of 1 Corinthians 11:8-10, we read: "For man does not originate from woman, but woman from man; for indeed man was not created for the woman's sake, but woman for the man's sake. Therefore the woman is obligated to have authority on her head, because of the angels." It is quite revealing what this means relative to the first Remnant who had the long-hair glory of Yahshua's presence. Was their Glory covered? Very much so.

Though Yahshua was the glory of Yahweh God, **that glory was covered**. As the Son of God, He possessed the Father's glory, evidenced on the mount of transfiguration. However, in His role as the weak female, He too was obligated to conceal/cover that glory, and He did so. In every regard as taught by Paul, confirmed throughout the Scriptures, and fulfilled in His coming to the first Remnant, Yahshua walked out in obedience the ways and commands of Yahweh regarding the woman's head—He covered His glory!

Ladies, if Yahshua's "head" was covered, if a "headcovering" was placed on His glory, then how much more must you do likewise? But women want to show off their hair. They want to cut, color, and primp it to make themselves look good in the eyes of others, when the Son of God would dare not do the same. Yahshua set the standard,

ladies, for you to follow. What He did, you are to emulate. As John said, “the one who says he abides in Him ought himself to walk in the same manner as He walked” (1 John 2:6). Ladies, Yahshua walked in His female role with His glory covered. You are to do likewise.

Furthermore, He did not add any worldly glamour to Himself, as women wrongly do with cosmetics. Where does the word “cosmetics” come from? From the Greek word “kosmos,” which means “world.” If Yahshua rejected the splendor of this kosmos (Matthew 4:8-10), ladies, why do you place it on the most telling part of your body—your face? You corrupt the testimony of Yahshua and do not walk in the same manner as He walked. Ladies, conform to His testimony and not to the kosmos, the world! If you say you are a follower of Yahshua, then follow in His ways. Otherwise, you lie to yourself and to others. If you want to enter into His glory, then you must enter into His humility—both in character and in appearance?

Concerning this testimony of the long hair and the beard, in the natural realm a bride possessing both the female’s long hair and the male’s beard is not possible, but with Yahweh God nothing is impossible. Male hippies tried to effect this, along with their free love, drugs, and rebellion against authority; but the flesh always fails in its attempts to fulfill the spiritual. Was Satan thereby mocking, foreshadowing, or attempting to accomplish Yahweh’s glorious works? Or maybe eternity is indeed in the heart of man (Ecclesiastes 3:11) and he is subconsciously reaching for what he can never obtain.

What if we had propounded in a riddle: How can the Bride receive the glory of long hair and the beard? No one in another thousand years could have figured this out. Again, with Yahweh God all things are possible, and He does so in the realm of the heavenly by creating the first Remnant female and the second Remnant male. Indeed, the truth in the fulfillment of this riddle is wonderful beyond comparison, and marvelous to behold. May Yahshua come quickly. Such is the benefit of plowing with Yahweh’s heifer.

Now, in addition to what we have learned already, let us address further the body of Christ. Even as we have seen that the first Remnant and Yahshua Himself in His coming through Mary are both feminine works, in like manner and in obvious necessity, **for 2,000 years the body of Christ has been in that feminine role as well.** Frankly, both governmentally and experientially, this should be quite obvious to you. If Yahshua came first in a weak, feminine, easily-deceived role, clearly His body would have to evidence the same.

Did the body of Christ have the beard, the masculine testimony? Not at all. Again, as stated in Isaiah 7:20, their beard was removed—they were made female in their work. This is confirmed in Isaiah 50:6 as well where we read, “I gave My back to those who strike Me, and My cheeks to those who pluck out the beard.” This was never confirmed in the Gospels to have been fulfilled with Yahshua as the other items were, but rather was obviously fulfilled by the body of Christ. Again, Yahshua left and they have not and cannot bring Him forth—they are not bearded.

Did Christians rule and reign in the quality of the male? Attested by the affliction of Yahshua when He was delivered over to the Jews and the Romans, the body of Christ takes on the worldly appearance of reigning—with their crown of thorns (the cares of

this world), purple and scarlet robe, and a reed in their right hand. But it is all show and not reality. And we should note here that the purple (Mark 15:17, John 19:5) and scarlet (Matthew 27:28) that Yahshua was clothed in attests to the body of Christ becoming “mystery, Babylon the great, the mother of harlots and of the abominations of the earth” who “was clothed in purple and scarlet” (Revelation 17:4-5). This too evidences what women do when they take the place of the man—they leave the home and the family to commit harlotry with the world. It ought not be this way.

Did Christianity reign? Paul clearly stated to them, “You are already filled, you have already become rich, you have become kings without us; and indeed, *I wish that you had become kings so that we also might reign with you*” (1 Corinthians 4:8). They could not and cannot reign, for they are in their female role.

What the body of Christ does is precisely what women do in both the world and in the churches—they try to be male and not female, wanting to reign rather than to serve. Christianity rejects their governmental place, just as women do today.

Then does Christianity have the right to teach? Though the right to teach went from the first Remnant to the body of Christ, neither do they have the right to teach, for they too are in their female role of service. As with Yahshua, though they are male, their service was to be female. But unlike Yahshua who did only the will of the Father, they demonstrate their weakness and speak from their uncovered posterior mouths, feeding people dung. The body of Christ will not enter into their masculine role for another thousand years when they enter into their Elijah period. They have been feminine for 2,000 years, and they will be masculine for 2,000 years and reign and teach—this time truth.

We see then that the [passing over principle](#) is in play here regarding teaching—Yahweh passed over the first, the first Remnant; He passed over the second, the body of Christ; and He takes the third, the second Remnant. It is the second Remnant who are masculine and bearded and now have the right to teach, bringing forth the Word of God.

So does the body of Christ see all things clearly, living in their breach, in the cleft of the rock, in hades, meaning “to not see”? Obviously, it has been totally impossible for them to see. This is evidenced in their tower-of-Babel teachings—confusion. Paul, the founder and teacher of Christianity, fully attested to this as well. First, Paul’s encounter with Yahshua on the road to Damascus resulted in him being blinded for three days with scales on his eyes (Acts 9:9-19). This obviously evidences the 3,000 years that Christianity was given wherein they too have been blind with scales on their eyes—the three measures of meal that are all leavened, the three hours of equal darkness when Yahshua was on the cross, whereupon He cried, “My God, My God, why have You forsaken Me?” This would be precisely the fate of the body of Christ if their days are not cut short to two.

This is further the testimony of Paul, where we see that the thorn in his flesh, that he prayed would be removed and was not, was his weak eyes. It is obvious he received those eyes from his mother, for the Leah first Remnant birthed the body of Christ and certainly they inherited the same frail, feminine trait. Paul wrote, “See with what large letters I am writing to you with my own hand” (Galatians 6:11). Attested by Paul’s

failed sight, the body of Christ can only see the obvious, but not the critical details. As the first-touch work, they see men as trees walking.

Christians, you are not masculine in your role and abilities yet, you are feminine. Your pulpits and staffing reveal this in that they are either filled with women, or your men are clean-shaven with the appearance of the woman. Your teachings are immensely shallow and filled with dung flesh teachings. And what you do see, in truth is only the obvious, the big letters of Paul's handwriting. Even when he was caught up to the third heaven, the Millennial kingdom, to get a glimpse of what we are seeing today, it was illegal for him to disclose it (2 Corinthians 12:2-4, and read [The Third Heaven](#)). You are feminine in your present role, just like your example, Yahshua, and it is even moreso illegal for you to see and teach the truths that the bearded second Remnant is being shown and given—those who will rule and reign with Him in this Millennial period.

It is incumbent at this point that we further address this matter of women, as they occupy the same place of Christianity and are in a grossly rebellious state at this time. Some may object that so much emphasis is being given herein to the shortfall of women; but given the fact that so much destruction has come upon America, the world, and the church through their Eveonian error, this address is entirely necessary. If an illness is killing or incapacitating a body, then the source of that illness must come into focus and be addressed. This is the Eveonian feminism that is at epidemic proportions. If something is broken, it has to be fixed; and women in governance, in the workforce, and in the church increasingly evidence destructive error and wrong. If Yahshua has to cut the days of Eveonian Christianity short lest no flesh be saved, how much more must the days of Eveonian America and the nations come to an end? Let us continue.

Like the body of Christ, women fail to recognize their place as a woman—the role of a servant. As we have already noted in 1 Peter 3:1-2, the “In the same way, you wives . . .” comparison of the woman was specifically with that of a servant: “Servants, be submissive to your masters with all respect, not only to those who are good and gentle, but also to those who are unreasonable. For this *finds* favor, if for the sake of conscience toward God a person bears up under sorrows when suffering unjustly” (1 Peter 2:18-19). Then Peter added, “In the same way, you wives . . .”

But this role of a servant really should be of no surprise, for Yahshua Himself said concerning His coming in that place—“the Son of Man did not come to be served, but to serve, and to give His life a ransom for many” (Matthew 20:28). And in like manner, the woman is urged, “For in this way [of a “gentle and quiet spirit”], in former times the holy women also, who hoped in God, used to adorn themselves [not with kosmos], being submissive to their own husbands, just as Sarah obeyed Abraham, calling him lord. And you have become her children if you do what is right without being frightened by any fear” (1 Peter 3:5-6). Calling one's husband “lord” is clearly the position of being a servant.

In light of this called and even obligated place and attitude, bearing with it accountability, we see that women today are failing not only miserably but with destructive consequences under the Curse of 1920. Every woman will be judged for her faithfulness as a woman, and every man will be judged for his faithfulness as a man. Each will be judged for their faithfulness with regard to that in which they have been entrusted. Women object and demand that they are equal to the man. Frankly, this is a lie that goes back to the Garden, with the same cursed consequences. As we see here,

they are no more equal to the man than a servant is equal to his master. They are no more equal to the man than Yahshua was equal to the Father, or we as the created are equal to God. The problem is that women (along with deceived men) often run on emotions and not on government, thereby proving their weakness as women. They operate out of the curse from the Garden—the desire of the woman for the place of her husband—and not from Yahweh God’s original order.

There is no equality in the coming of the Son of God as Yahshua, as compared to His coming as Immanuel. There is no equality in the first Remnant and the second Remnant. There was no equality in the man who first saw men as trees walking, and then saw all things clearly. There is no equality in the created and the Creator. There is no equality in the servant and the master. And neither does the woman have equality with the man. Whether one is willing to accept it or not, this is Yahweh God’s government and order, and we are wise to honor and follow it just as Yahshua humbled Himself and did so. The female can NEVER be equal to or rule over the male. That is rebellion.

If we are not to operate off of emotions, if we are not to operate off of the teachings of feminized Christianity and the world, then what are we to operate off of? We cannot operate off of that which is below, but from that which is above. The above is to be our source, our hope, our quest, and our standard. For example, someone asked me recently about birth control. My challenge to them was, can you take the same practice and apply it to the heavenly? Is there a restraint on bringing forth offspring in the kingdom of heaven? No. Be fruitful and multiply was Yahweh’s command. Then birth control is a failed practice that does not reflect the heavenly.

In the same manner, though in heaven we will not be in male and female bodies (Matthew 22:24-30), do you think that the principle of male and female will be corrupted in heaven whereby the created will rule over the Creator? Of course not. Thereby, as Yahshua prayed, His kingdom come, His will be done on earth as it is in heaven. And when Yahshua came 2,000 years ago, this is precisely what He walked out on earth—taking the place of the feminine, coming to serve and not to be served, submitting Himself to man to the extent that, as we read in 1 Peter 2:18-19, He submitted to unreasonable masters, even to the point of death. He was in every way exemplary of the way women should be.

HIDDEN IN THE CLEFT

We have already noted that when Moses asked to see Yahweh’s glory in Exodus 33, His answer was the testimony and type of the church—the Bride and the body. First, as testified by His promise that he would stand on a rock and Yahweh would stand beside him, we clearly see the first Remnant. As we have noted, the first Remnant was built on Peter, the rock, and the Son of God Himself personally stood beside them. They had the glory of long hair.

But then came the breach. As we read in verse 22, Yahweh next placed the church “in the cleft of the rock” and covered it with His hand until He had passed by. This cleft is the period of the body of Christ, and is likewise attested to in Jeremiah 13:1-7 where Jeremiah was told to take a linen waistband to the Euphrates and equally hide it in the

cleft of a rock. When he went back and dug it up, the “waistband was ruined, it was totally worthless.” This is Yahweh’s assessment of Christianity—it is ruined and totally worthless.

In the same manner, Christianity is the dead body of Christ that was wrapped in linen and placed in the cleft of a rock (Mark 15:46). The problem is though, as testified in Jeremiah 13, if Yahweh waits three days to come back and get the linen-wrapped body of Christ, it too would be ruined and totally worthless, as it is even now dead, decaying, and stinking. This is why He must cut their days short and call out a Lazarus, a Remnant from the cleft.

The body of Christ with Yahweh’s hand over it is equally in hades, which means “to not see.” It is in the [Valley of Gehenna](#), which was the cleft between the Hill of Evil Counsel and Zion. Gehenna is where they took their trash and dung and burned it.

How else does Yahweh attest to this unclean and worthless breach of Christianity? To begin to answer this, again we ask: How long a period of time have we noted that the body of Christ was to be given? Repeatedly we see that it was to be given 3,000 years, their own three days and three nights in the grave, in hades. Adding to this testimony, we addressed in [All’s Well That Ends Well, page 2](#), that Christianity is Egypt. In Revelation 11, we read that the Remnant two witnesses ([Christmas and the Two Witnesses](#)) laid in the streets of Jerusalem for three and a half days, the prophetic ministry period of the dead body of Christ. Verse 8 adds, “And their dead bodies will lie in the street of the great city which spiritually is called **Sodom** and **Egypt**, where also their Lord was crucified.” We also know that, like Egypt, Cush speaks of Christianity as well. It is the area of Africa that the descendants of Ham settled, and [Africa](#) is Christianity.

Why do we note all of this? In Isaiah 20, we read where Isaiah carried out a most revealing, in more ways than one, intercession. For three years he had to go naked “as a sign and token against **Egypt** and **Cush**.” We know that all of the Old Testament, including this account, speaks and prophesies of matters that were to come, and thereby Egypt and Cush here speak of far more than the natural. We have already noted that they are Christianity. This is confirmed as well by the fact that Isaiah had to go naked for three years—the period of time given to Christianity. So what are we seeing here?

We are seeing precisely what women are revealing more and more of every day. They too attest to Isaiah’s shame. As stated in verse 4, Isaiah and women testify of the nakedness of Christianity whereby it goes about with “buttocks uncovered, to [its] shame.”

The behavior and practices of women today are pathetic! (Blessed be those who are the exception.) Not only do they abandon the home and seek and demand to stand in the place of the man, not only do they make themselves equal to us and demand the right to vote and govern, not only do they wear our pants and cut their hair short like ours, but they take those pants that men have worn modestly, and like Isaiah essentially uncover their buttocks. (This is the term we will use here in conformity with Isaiah 20:4.) The tightness of their pants and tightness and shortness of their dresses reveal and attract attention to their buttocks in every way. And if this is not enough, when they go swimming or wear shorts, they literally uncover their buttocks. And, they

choose to wear underwear that totally exposes their buttocks—thongs. It is utterly shameful how women's underwear becomes their swimwear.

Women have this amazing propensity to be **fools of fashion! This nakedness was a curse for Isaiah, it was a curse for Egypt, it is a curse for Christianity, and it is a curse for women**, and they should be and “will be dismayed and ashamed” (vs. 5). Why this fetish compulsion of women? They continue to be the deceived Eve, and by their actions cause man to sin and bring the judgment of Yahweh God upon us.

But their actions equally prophesy “to the shame of Egypt,” Christianity. As clearly testified by Isaiah who went naked for three years, exposing his buttocks, Christianity is in fact the buttocks—the linen kingdom placed in the cleft of the rock, becoming ruined and totally worthless. For 2,000 years their nakedness has been exposed, and would be exposed for the remaining 1,000 years if not cut short.

What would happen if a linen cloth was placed in the cleft of the buttocks? It would be ruined, just as the one Jeremiah hid. The buttocks speak of Yahweh placing the kingdom of heaven, the linen priesthood, in the anus of man, in the cleft of the rock of his buttocks, and leaving it there in dung. This is what homosexuals do to each other, and perverse-minded men do to women. They take the rod and place the semen in the posterior mouth and waste it, all for perverse pleasure. This is the ark of the covenant placed in Dagon's temple for seven months and the Philistines being smitten with hemorrhoids (Lit. of 1 Samuel 6). These are the inflamed and bloody (Satan) mouths of Christians. This is the linen word of God placed in the mouths of women preachers and teachers. This is the linen word of God placed in Christianity. They are unclean.

As is vast Christianity, so the buttocks are exceptionally vast lips. And quite fittingly, Yahweh has attested to the corruption of the kingdom by women—giving them an increased testimony of that dung kingdom. I have read that women even comprise seventy percent of Christian congregants. This physical difference for the woman, as with all the testimonies of the body, **is by prophetic design by Yahweh**, indicating as well the influence of feminism in corrupting the body of Christ—by both men and women.

The largest lips on the body, exceedingly by far, are the dung lips. And what do women today do with their larger spectacle? The same thing Christianity does with its larger spectacle. They both corrupt the viewer. Consistent with this corruption, women advertise their unclean posterior lips and lead men to sin by them. Such both do with their words as well. In contrast, the man's buttocks are much smaller, attesting to the fact that when it comes to dung error, men are much less prone to such. This is why women are forbidden to teach or to govern, and as we have seen, why Christianity does not have that authority as well. They both defile the word.

Women, like Christianity, have this totally amazing propensity to still listen to the serpent of old, and be entirely deceived by him. They seek to usurp the man and rule in his place. They refuse to cover their heads when they pray, and rightly should consider doing so at all times (as did Yahshua when covering His glory). They wear immodest and alluring clothing that prophesies the corruption and ruin of the kingdom and leads to the ruin of men. They paint their faces, even as Jezebel did before she was killed (2 Kings 9:30), presenting a lying testimony of themselves and, as we have noted, are a

shame in their testimony of Yahshua (kosmos). They adorn their flesh with jewelry like a dead tinsel Christmas tree. And now they even tattoo their bodies (Leviticus 19:28).

As to their lips that are not covered like a man's, they paint them red, the color of Satan. Clearly, they do so for the cause of seduction and false "beauty." But with their red lips they tell you who is speaking—the one who deceived the woman in the Garden. These are the enflamed lips with which Yahweh afflicted the Philistines. These red lips testify to being as unclean as the woman's birth lips during her cycle. Men, anytime you see a woman with red painted lips, think one thing—red Satan!

Adding to their shame, some women even now shave their birth lips to be like their posterior lips, testifying two things. First, that the offspring of their womb are dung, evidenced by women tragically forcing the issue of abortion, treating their offspring like dung that is to be discarded. Their shaving testifies what women are doing with our children, both those who are killed and discarded by abortion, as well as those emotionally discarded by the woman's abandonment of and distraction from the home. Second, they despise Mary and her Offspring, prophesying that Yahshua was nothing more than dung and that His glory was not just limited, but to be totally rejected, cut off. They likewise testify of the body of Christ that is ruined and worthless, and should be wholly abandoned. When women shave their birth lips, they prophesy the certain death and discarding of the fruit of the womb. And they do this all out of selfish sensuality and ignorance.

And let us note here as well that when a man shaves his face, it speaks in the same regard, but even more destructively—that the male will not bring forth Immanuel's return, and that His reign will not endure forever. If any man is offended that women shave their birth areas, and yet they shave their own face, they are a glaring hypocrite.

With their lips—the painted ones, the posterior ones, and the shaved ones—women allure men into sin and disobedience. What they accomplish in corrupting the man with their abhorrent dress, they equally accomplish in corrupting him with the words from their lips. Again, Yahweh's judgment of Adam when he sinned in the Garden began with this exposing correction: "Because you have listened to the voice of your woman" (Genesis 3:17).

Go to a store and you will find a handful of items that are grooming products for men. But, "beauty" aids for women are not only an entire aisle, but aisles and entire sections of the store. Women are absorbed with vanity, the corruption of the flesh and of mankind. They use their bodies to lead men into sin and to gain attention, rather than covering their glory as Yahshua covered His glory. Revealingly, they sit at their **vanity** tables and **vanity** mirrors. If it was not for the man becoming like them and taking on their vain appearance by shaving, the man would seldom look into a mirror. But not women.

Ladies, do you think I am picking on you? No, the evidence of your shameful actions is glaring. No, the consequences of your actions have reached to tragic levels. No, I am exposing your gross errors and sins whereby you are accomplishing among man just what Eve did in the Garden—looking at things from the standpoint of being "a delight to the eyes" and listening to Satan. If you think I am just being biased, read the Scriptures, read what Solomon said, the one who was acclaimed for his great wisdom:

“I have found one man among a thousand, but I have not found a woman among all these. Behold, I have found only this, that God made men upright, but they have sought out many devices” (Ecclesiastes 7:28-29).

Solomon should know about this, for he had 1,000 women—700 wives and 300 concubines. In all the instructions in the New Testament—reproving, warning, and instructing men and women—there is more than twice the instruction given to women. Women are told specifically how to dress—modestly in long flowing garments that cover their bodies ([Coverings, Chapter 5](#), read “Kata, the Thorough Covering”). Men did not need to be told how to dress modestly. Men do not expose their legs and buttocks and their chests or paint their faces. (Of course there are exceptions to this generality, just as we have noted that there are also modest women.) Men do not wear tight clothing that advertises their form. Men did not have to be singled out to not gossip. Men were not instructed to not teach. Men were not told their iniquity would be to desire the place of the woman. Yet with all of this, we have given women the right to teach, to vote, and to govern? Are we insane, blind, deceived, sadistic, or just plain ignorant, or all five? Most certainly we are cursed!

What of the fault of men? Men listened to the voice of the woman when they should not have. Men did not act governmentally as they were designed, and failed to hold to strong standards and rule as they are supposed to. Men did not establish Yahshua to be their head, as He is to all men (1 Corinthians 11:3), and seek His ways. Men allowed themselves to be deceived and follow their lower natures. Men have now given themselves over to the lusts of the eyes in porn, even as they have given themselves over to the porn of feminism. Both are lusts for the flesh of the woman. Men, in a word, have FAILED! Men, if you are going to birth Immanuel to this earth, you have a lot of changing to do as well.

But ladies, when most men sit down to the dinner table, they eat what you feed them—e.g., the Garden of Eden. Quit feeding them your corruption! Quit feeding them your feminism. If you hate porn, then hate feminism. Quit inundating them with wrong. Quit trying to take the place of headship. Quit unlawfully giving your bodies to them. When are you going to wake up to what you are doing to men, to the family, to society, to government, and to the church? You need to see your shame. You need to get in your place. You need to set a godly example.

When the man experiences your pressure, most men are like Solomon—they don’t fight you, they go to the corner of the attic (Proverbs 21:9) or out into the desert (Proverbs 21:19). And if the man gets a vision of righteousness, then support him. That is your call—to follow in the ways of Yahshua and serve, to evidence “the imperishable quality of a gentle and quiet spirit, which is precious in the sight of God” (1 Peter 3:4).

And ladies, because you, along with the young, are easily deceived; for the gain of money, advertising and entertainment have been used to seduce you and you have followed. Again, you have become fools of fashion. Both of these influences MUST be dramatically censored. This is true with porn for men as well—again, the woman’s body is used to corrupt men. It MUST be completely censored. All of these feed our lusts. But ladies, the porn of the way women dress publicly must stop as well, along with the porn of feminism. Oh for the joy of walking into a store where all the women were wearing long modest dresses and no makeup. The only way to solve these problems is in implementing and living by Yahweh’s government.

Why is this being emphasized here regarding women? Because with the Curse of 1920 on America and the world, **feminism is at epidemic levels, and no one is correctly recognizing or addressing the problem.** This curse is a governmental issue, a social issue, and most certainly a spiritual issue, and neither Christianity that began and propagates the Curse of 1920, nor society that is blind to the government of Yahweh God, can, will, or would do anything about it. Again, no one is addressing the real problem, and the only way to see and address it is by carefully examining the government of Yahweh God.

There are three matters that must be further addressed regarding women under the Curse of 1920. First, women who seek to abandon their place as wives and mothers and stand in the place of the man, by this very action prove and demonstrate their weak feminine nature. The second is in regard to this usurping Garden-curse idea that women are equal to men. By Yahweh's design and government, clearly they are not! And third is this pathetic erroneous idea of women that they cannot reach their "potential" unless they stand in the place of the man.

Regarding the woman demonstrating her weakness, we see how Yahshua walked in the feminine role during His time on this earth via His birth through Mary. This was in clear contrast with His masculine role when He comes to reign as King of kings. But, and this is MOST important to note, the very fact that He willingly embraced this weakness proved His masculinity. Though He was feminine in this submissive walk in weakness, He did so by virtue of His masculine resolve to be obedient in all things. Though He was feminine in His role having come through Mary, His obedience demonstrated the very fact that He was masculine in His actions. This is a great example for women today who think that if they can abandon their place as a woman and enter into the place of the man, they prove their strength and worth. But, just the opposite is true. The very act they think demonstrates these, proves the contrary—that they are weak and all the more acting as an easily-deceived woman. Be what you're born to be, ladies. Yahshua was.

I know a lady who when she was young was masculine in her way of thinking. She was governmental in the way she examined things and not easily deceived. She was sober and not ruled by emotions, seeing through the fluff of everyone and everything. For this reason she was non-compromising on moral issues, taking positions that challenged other's compromising actions. She also had great insight, especially for her age. But what was especially revealing was that her masculine or governmental ways of looking at things caused her to be very modest and feminine in her dress and appearance; and like Yahshua, she covered her glory. Those too were governmental choices, based on the government and guidance of the Scriptures.

But later in life she became disappointed and weary and began to respond emotionally. This created some real conflicts concerning what was right. Her weariness caused her to throw off many of the things she had held to and had seen so clearly. The more she operated out of her emotions, the less she looked feminine and the more she began to take on a masculine appearance and the appearance of the world. Also, sadly, as her emotions more and more took control, the less she was able to discern and reveal the depths of the truths of Yahweh God. Slowly, spiritually, she became increasingly more shallow and more and more turned to traditional Christianity and its compromising ways.

It is an amazing thing that while she was masculine in her way of examining things, she was modest and feminine in her appearance and behavior, and deep in her spiritual examinations and discernment. But when she became feminine in her ways and operated out of emotions, she became masculine in her appearance, wearing man's pants and such, uncovering her glory, and lost her spiritual depth.

Women, do you truly want to take the place of the male? Do you want to demonstrate strength and worth? Then follow the example of Yahshua and be faithful to serve in weakness. Undoubtedly, He was the most complete and perfect "woman" who has ever lived. **The only way for a woman to truly demonstrate masculinity, is to faithfully demonstrate her femininity.** If a woman is to be masculine in her core nature, then she must embrace her role in her feminine creation, even as Yahshua wholly embraced His.

The woman can no more demonstrate strength by usurping the man, than Satan showed strength by usurping Yahweh. Instead, he showed weakness, rebellion, and error, as does the woman who follows in his ways. As Yahshua said, so it is true with the woman in her identity with the weaker feminine, "**Remember Lot's wife.** Whoever seeks to keep his life will lose it, and whoever loses *his life* will preserve it" (Luke 17:32-33). Ladies, you will never gain your life by abandoning your place as a faithful and submissive wife, mother, or single woman. You will in fact lose it. Now for the second matter. We will be brief, for this has come up already.

Regarding the woman's desire to be equal to the man, we have noted the curse of the woman that was placed on her in the Garden because of Eve's sin—"Your desire will be for [the place of] your husband." Very briefly, let us see what that governmental order is supposed to be, as clearly stated in 1 Corinthians 11:3. Here we read, "But I want you to understand that Christ is the head of every man, and the man is the head of a woman, and God is the head of Christ." So we see that headship is strictly masculine—the Father, then the Son, then the man. The woman is not a part of headship. When she seeks to take that place, she rebels against Yahweh God's governmental order with destructive consequences. As stated earlier, the female can NEVER be equal to or rule over the male. This is rebellion.

The third point is regarding the woman reaching her "potential." Some women under the influence of this Curse of 1920 will argue and claim, "But as a submissive stay-at-home wife and mother, I can never reach my potential." Potential? Potential for what? Potential to stand in the place of a man? Do you call that forward progress? What does obedience have to do with potential? "Potential" does not justify a woman usurping the man and abandoning her place. Potential? Yahshua had the **potential** to summon 72,000 angels, but in order to fulfill His place He did not do so. "Or do you think that I cannot appeal to My Father, and He will at once put at My disposal more than twelve legions of angels? How then will the Scriptures be fulfilled, *which say* that it must happen this way?" (Matthew 26:53-54). He restrained His potential for the sake of obedience and faithfulness.

Potential? Ladies, men have the physical potential to overpower and incapacitate you. So, should potential then be the goal for them as well? What man would be doing that which is right if he too lived by his potential in strength relative to the woman? His faithfulness as a man is to govern his potential and use it for profitable and just and obedient purposes. Women should do likewise.

Therefore, the question is not potential at all, but obedience to the role wherein one has been placed by the will of Yahweh God. As Yahshua stated as well, "He who is faithful in a very little thing [in the natural] is faithful also in much [in the heavenly]; and he who is unrighteous in a very little thing [in the natural] is unrighteous also in much [in the heavenly]" (Luke 16:10). Live your life for the gain that is above, not for that which is below. What you sow, you will reap. Sow faithfulness with where you are placed as a woman, with that in which you have been entrusted, and you will reap favor and rewards eternally.

Where today are the godly women who can set the right examples? We already see that the BEST example for women that has ever or ever will be given for them is Yahshua. He walked out His role as a feminine work in submission and weakness with the supreme attitude of humility, service, and covering His glory, in a way that women forever need to emulate. Nor, ladies, did He aspire after the things of this world. In fact, He stated that if anyone was to follow Him, they were to give up everything. This is equally the example for the woman, whose place is at home while her husband labors in the world, providing for her and the family. Are their exceptions to this? Indeed, but they are exceptions.

Where are the godly women spoken of in Titus 2:3-5, "Older women likewise are to be reverent in their behavior, not malicious gossips nor enslaved to much wine, teaching what is good, so that they may encourage the young women to love their husbands, to love their children, *to be* sensible, pure, workers at home, kind, being subject to their own husbands, so that the word of God will not be dishonored." Where are the godly women? Older women today are pathetic examples. I can say this since I am sixty years of age. Instead of holding to the standards praised here in Titus, they perpetuate the corruption of the 60s and 70s and join the corruption of the younger and try to dress like them, act like them, and cut their hair like the man. It is doubly shameful that today we do not even have older women to hold to and exemplify the standard of godliness!

Men and ladies alike, here is your challenge:

By this we know that we have come to know Him, if we keep His commandments. The one who says, "I have come to know Him," and does not keep His commandments, is a liar, and the truth is not in him; but whoever keeps His word, in him the love of God has truly been perfected.

By this we know that we are in Him: the one who says he abides in Him ought himself to walk in the same manner as He walked (1 John 2:3-6).

MAKING COVENANTS

With all of the incredible truth that has been revealed in these preceding sections, truth that no man has ever seen before, let us say something about this unique insight of the Bride and about this matter of sexuality.

There are parts of the human body that are supposed to be covered and not seen by others, not even in the shameful way women are now revealing them. Those parts,

similar to what Paul said in 1 Corinthians 12:22-24, are the hidden parts that actually receive the greater glory. They are the parts that bring forth fruitfulness, which is critical to Yahweh. "Be fruitful and multiply, and fill the earth" (Genesis 1:28 to Adam and 9:1 to Noah). But, that fruitfulness is unique in that it is to be strictly limited to the relationship that is (1) lawful, (2) of close intimacy, and (3) private. If birthing children was all Yahweh cared about in fruitfulness, then people would be like the dogs (which many attest to now) with no order, no commitment, and no privacy. He could have designed man to be that way if the order and government of fruitfulness was irrelevant. But it is highly relevant, and the fact that He has designed fruitfulness to be limited to a covenant, in privacy, and intimate, speaks.

Since this is the case, one can be MOST certain that this is precisely the way Yahweh has designed for the propagation of His kingdom. The natural is a reflection of the spiritual. What is the point here? First, this intimacy and privacy determines that the knowledge concerning these hidden parts is seen and understood only by those in the covenant union. Thereby, is it not to be expected that the only one who will see the intimate things of Yahweh regarding spiritual procreation is the Bride? This is why Yahweh is giving us the eyes to see the immense meaning of these things that are rightfully hidden from mankind—hidden most certainly from the nations, but from the body of Christ as well. These hidden truths are for the Bride—the marriage supper of the Lamb. This being the case, how much more will we learn when we are caught up to heaven and enter into Sarah's tent—in privacy?

By writing and speaking on these intimate concealed matters, this man could be accused of having a mind that excessively delights in the sexual. Not so. I am indeed a man as it is written in James 5:17: "Elijah was a man with a nature like ours." But these matters have to be addressed, and the reason is twofold. First, we certainly see that the truths that are revealed regarding the hidden parts of the body do indeed have a greater glory. And even as these parts are hidden, the truths we have seen have equally been hidden, sealed. Our hope then is that what we are seeing are the very truths that will bring forth the much needed fruit of the kingdom, including the birth of Immanuel. Having stated this, let us briefly further demonstrate the marvels of this truth by making an additional relevant observation concerning Yahshua versus Immanuel.

We know that Yahshua's birth was clearly in secret—in a stable. Only some shepherds came to see the newborn child. Yahshua's birth was thereby consistent with the covered birth area of Mary—concealed. In like manner, who He was, that which was to take place in the kingdom, and the design of that kingdom were all concealed. But, when Immanuel is birthed, will it be a concealed work as well? Will the kingdom continue to remain essentially hidden? No. We read that every eye will see His return, and His coming will even be heralded in advance by the Elijah company, just as He was heralded when He made His triumphal entry into Jerusalem and was proclaimed as King.

Let us ask a very revealing question: If Mary's concealed covered birth area brought forth Yahshua in secret, will the birth area that brings Immanuel be secret? Absolutely not. It is quite fitting and confirming that Mary's concealed covered birth area brought forth Yahshua, but the man's public covered birth area, his bearded mouth, will bring forth Immanuel. This open revelation of Immanuel is equal to the marvelous truths that the Bride is revealing now as well. Consistent with the beard, His works and ways are no longer hidden but revealed. May His light ever increase.

It is amazing how Yahweh has effected these two testimonies in these natural distinctions—the private covered birth area of the woman attesting to bringing forth Yahshua in private, and the public covered birth area of the man attesting to bringing forth Immanuel in public, His kingdom being open and clear and ruling on the earth.

The second reason these matters have to be addressed is that if they are not, this leaves men and women to fail to act governmentally in this matter of intimacy, acting in ignorance and error instead. Failing to understand the intercessory, prophetic, and governmental significance of these hidden parts, blinds one's understanding of what they are indeed doing or attesting to by specific sexual acts—whether they are right or wrong. This understanding is critical in today's entirely aberrant and corrupted society and church. **By understanding these things, men and women can judge what is appropriate and inappropriate intimate behavior.**

One Bride brother told me that the instruction he received from a Christian pastor was essentially that anything goes in marriage intimacy. The pastor based this on Hebrews 13:4, that the marriage bed is undefiled. But when one knows the prophetic governmental testimonies regarding these hidden parts of the body, we see that those marriage acts are extremely significant and highly impacting. By knowing the governmental truth related to these actions, the man and the woman can now do what is clearly right. But also, by now knowing these things, men and women are held to a greater degree of accountability. Even performed in ignorance, aberrant acts have destructive consequences; but knowing the truth brings even greater consequences and accountability for disregarding it.

In the opening section, we have already noted the profound testimony of the covenant between a man and a woman. And because of its significance as a testimony of the covenant with Abraham, and the fulfillment in the covenant that Yahweh set forth whereby the body of Christ passes between the split covenant parts of the Bride, **that human covenant is not to be despised or corrupted.** We have also seen the uniting of the two Remnant as male and female. We have seen the dismay and shame of women joining in Isaiah's shame and exposing their buttocks, to say the least. We have seen the profound prophetic significance of the woman's covered birth lips as well. Let us now address the male and matters relative to his testimony.

Knowing that the man's rod passing between the covenant parts of the woman testifies to both Yahshua, the Rod, as well as the body of Christ, what a man does with that testimony is ENTIRELY governmental with specific consequences. Therefore, it is incumbent that we not only examine this, but also highly respect that testimony and keep it holy.

Again, the man's rod is prophetically Yahshua. You will recall that Yahweh attested at the burning bush that the Rod would be thrown down to the earth and became a serpent. This is true with the rod of the man as well. It has the ability to become the type of Yahshua and effect the covenant, placing the shemen in the holy of holies to bring forth offspring, or it can return to being the serpent (flaccid).

Therefore men, righteous government and prophecy demand accountability in where you place that rod. If you place it in the split covenant parts of the women with whom you are united in marriage with the possible outcome of offspring, you follow in the testimony of Yahshua. However, there are things that if practiced, you enter into

corruption and both prophesy and intercede for the corruption of Yahshua and His kingdom. It is shameful that the following even have to be addressed. Many men have reduced masculinity to mere bestiality.

First, quite obviously, as a believer, if you lay with a woman other than your wife, you join Yahshua or His body to harlotry. Paul directly stated:

Do you not know that your bodies are members of Christ? Shall I then take away the members of Christ and make them members of a prostitute? May it never be! Or do you not know that the one who joins himself to a prostitute is one body *with her*? For He says, "The two shall become one flesh" (1 Corinthians 6:15-16).

When a man places his type of Yahshua in a harlot or in any woman who is not his wife, he unites Yahshua or His body to that individual. Thereby, one must likewise ask what it means for the man to place his testimony of Yahshua in the mouth of a woman or an unshaved man, or in the anus of a woman or a man? That should not be hard to anticipate. It means placing the word of God in the mouth of women, which is in violation of the instruction of the Scriptures and the ways of Yahweh, or placing the word of God into Christianity, in the cleft of the rock to become ruined and worthless. In each of these cases, the prophetic testimony is corruption, and the seed is corrupted and goes to ruin.

What about the homosexual act of placing the rod into the bearded mouth of a man? It too is just as much an act of corruption. It is Satan trying to do what Yahweh alone can accomplish. Let me ask you, was Yahshua conceived by the seed of a man, or by the overshadowing of the Holy Spirit? You know the answer. In like regard, it is not the seed of corrupt man in the mouth of corrupt man that brings forth Immanuel. It is man, in the same manner as Mary, being overshadowed by the Holy Spirit, birthing from the covered lips of a virgin. That which has been is that which will be.

Is it not obvious then that homosexuality is nothing but the corruption of the rod/Rod? They have no options for using it in relation to another but for corrupt and unlawful practices.

So what about taking the rod in the hand? First, there is nothing unlawful about a man taking the rod that is given to him and shemen coming forth. In Leviticus 15:16-18 we read:

"Now if a man has a seminal emission, he shall bathe all his body in water and be unclean until evening. As for any garment or any leather on which there is seminal emission, it shall be washed with water and be unclean until evening. If a man lies with a woman *so that* there is a seminal emission, they shall both bathe in water and be unclean until evening."

Do you notice that there are two emissions here—a seminal emission apart from a woman, and one from lying with his wife? Thus, there is no more wrong in taking the rod into one's own hand, than there is in laying with one's own wife. The caution would be however in what the man's thoughts would be at that time, which is the same caution in the relationship with one's wife. Either cannot lead to sin in the mind. The fact is, either governmentally or prophetically, there is nothing wrong with the man taking the rod in his own hand (or the woman likewise for her own self).

In contrast then, is it governmentally acceptable for the woman to take the rod into her hand and thereby bring forth the shemen? The outcome is the same as placing the rod in a woman's mouth—the woman is not to bring forth the works (hands) of Yahweh God, which the man's seminal emission prophesies. Legally and intercessorally, it places the right to rule in the hands of the woman—taking the rod—which men have indeed allowed. The woman bringing forth the man's seed prophesies of the woman having the right to govern. Then should a woman never take the rod in hand? No, she just cannot bring forth the seed of the man. She can arouse him thereby, even as Esther touched the head of the king's golden rod and preserved the life of herself and her people (Lit. of Esther 5:2), but his seed is meant to be released in her holy-of-holies womb.

Again, all of this is government. When we understand government, we can understand what is right and wrong. Otherwise, all that people have to go by is their carnal minds or lusts. Otherwise, we join the dogs.

Let us briefly address the tongue here as well. In James 3:6 we read, "And the tongue is a fire, the *very* world of iniquity; the tongue is set among our members as that which defiles the entire body, and sets on fire the course of *our* life, and is set on fire by hell." Is it then right for a man to place his testimony of rebellion, defilement, and hell in the Remnant split covenant parts of the woman? Absolutely not! It speaks of the defilement, rebellion, and corruption of the Remnant—the very things Satan accomplished in his role as the Vashti "covering cherub" bride. The tongue of a man or woman should NEVER be placed in the birth lips of the woman.

What about smoking, when a burning rod/torch (cigarette or cigar) or smoking oven (hookah, or water pipe) passes between the attesting split covenant parts? Quite obviously, this too is a governmental covenant; but, it is a covenant with death. It is like unto serving a false god. By taking the smoke from "strange fire" (Leviticus 10:1-2) into the lungs, it defiles the pneuma and brings death. Again, smoking is no less than a governmental covenant with death.

We have examined what takes place when the rod passes between the woman's birth lips. But let us examine this even further. What again did we see took place when Abraham laid out the split animals, and the smoking oven and flaming torch passed between in Genesis 15? Yahweh thereby made an everlasting covenant with him. Therefore, when a man places his rod between any lips—a woman's birth lips, or between the facial lips of a woman or a man, or between the posterior lips of a man or a woman—**he is thereby making an everlasting covenant with that person!** (Here again, masturbation is not a covenant.)

This is why when a man and a woman marry, if they divorce and go and marry another they commit adultery ([Divorce, Women, and the Curse of 1920](#)). They violate their everlasting covenant. The only way that covenant can be legally nullified is by the death of the other party, or if the other party commits adultery. And quite obviously, a piece of paper does not terminate that covenant in the flesh. It was entered into by conjugation, and it can only be absolved in the same manner (or by death). Paper means nothing in a one-flesh union through consummation.

Therefore, in like manner, every time a homosexual's rod passes between any lips, a covenant is effected. And anytime they repeat that act with another, they in effect

violate that covenantal act, which is equal to adultery. They thereby multiply their guilt—aberrant sex and adultery.

When Bill Clinton did what he did in the Oval Office, he effected a covenant with that woman and thereby committed adultery. Anytime a man's rod goes between any lips, or if a man gives his lips to another man's rod, an everlasting covenant is established that has binding legal consequences, including adultery. A married man who gives his rod to another man or takes another man's rod commits adultery. Anything beyond strict monogamy is the sin of adultery, of which the Scriptures speak: "Marriage is honorable in all, and the bed undefiled: but the immoral and adulterers God will judge" (Hebrews 13:4).

Well, you say, I have never allowed my rod, or mouth, to enter into a covenant with anyone except my wife. That is wholly honorable. But now let me ask you, do you exercise the same faithfulness with the words that pass between your own split-covenant-parts lips?

Recently, a man told me that within two days he would read something that I had sent him. I did not hear back from him, and based on his previous failed actions, I was assured that he did not keep his word. I was right. Here is what I wrote to him:

I called and left a message on your phone, asking if you kept your word. Whether you see and believe what I wrote is wholly at your discretion, but keeping your word is not up to discretion. You made a vow—"I will" You made a covenant that was formed between your split-part lips, just as the covenant was formed with Abraham in Genesis 15. Did you keep your covenant?

Yahshua plainly said, "But I tell you that every idle word that people speak, they shall give an accounting for it in the day of judgment. For by your words you will be justified, and by your words you will be condemned" (Matthew 12:36-37). What did you perform in fulfilling your covenant to me? Did you keep your word to me in your statement, "I will look over it in the next couple of days"? That is two days, Dick. Did you fulfill that or was it an idle word? As Yahshua clearly warned, are you justified by your actions or are you condemned?

Your words are from the pneuma that is granted to you in every breath. You form, in type, the Word of God between your lips. Did you honor that word that you formed? What we do and what we say are EXTREMELY important, and I am asking for your accountability regarding your word. If you read over that material as you vowed, I applaud you. If you let your word fall into the dust and die, you are wrong in being so careless and irresponsible with the pneuma you have been given.

Please give an accounting regarding the handling of your word.

As expressed here, when you speak any commitment, it is as much a covenant as it is when your rod passes between the split covenant parts of a woman. It is as much a covenant as when Yahweh passed between the split covenant parts laid out by Abraham. And quite obviously, this is as true for a woman as it is for a man. The pneuma that comes from the breath of a woman or from a man forms words, and words

are masculine—evidenced by Yahshua’s births through the prophetic lips of a woman, and from the lips of the man. Remember, the feminine came from the side when men were put to sleep. So whether man or woman, your words are the male passing between the split covenant parts.

The question that you need to ask yourself is the question I asked Dick—are you justified with your words, or are you condemned? As Yahshua warned, you will be required to give an accounting for every careless or idle word. Are you thereby speaking circumspectly? Are you watching over your words? Are you watching over your vows when you say you will do something? **Every time you make a verbal commitment to someone, you are making a covenant to them. Remember, every word that passes between your lips passes between split covenant parts—it is a vow.** “But I tell you that every idle word that people speak, they shall give an accounting for it in the day of judgment. For by your words you will be justified, and by your words you will be condemned.” Thereby, every word you speak is everlasting. That is a sobering reality! Are you watching over your words? Yahshua is.

When you do not keep your word, you are speaking from the largest lips in your body—your dung lips. You prove that your words are but dung—useless and worthy only to be discarded. They are in fact foul, repulsive, and unworthy of being looked upon. They fall to the ground to defile the feet, the kingdom rights of men.

Here was Dick’s very appropriate reply:

I’m not going to offer any excuses for not having read it yet. However, Christ asked a man to tell him which man did the will of his father. He answered that the man who delayed in doing it still got it done. Because your document is involved and I have already encountered some difficulties in understanding what you have in mind in part of it, I first of all request your forgiveness that I didn't get it done in two days. Now, I'm still planning to finish reading it by tonight if you wish to get no feedback, or I will give you my comments if you will agree to allow me more time until late Monday. Please let me know whether I have your forgiveness in this matter, and what you decide about feedback or no feedback.

Best Regards,

Dick

My reply to him was: “I MOST certainly give you my forgiveness. I must, for I am a man as well in need of grace. I welcome your comments after late Monday and VERY MUCH welcome and respect you for your gracious and non-defensive reply. You could have attacked me; your reply is a breath of fresh air.” He did what was right and masculine—admitting failure and asking for forgiveness. But even moreso, he also held himself accountable and sought to restore and fulfill his vow. (He did respond by Monday evening.) Oh that all men and women would do likewise when they fail. The fact is, you can either repent and correct your error now, or you will do so later—you will be held accountable for every idle and careless word. As Paul aptly said, “But if we judged ourselves rightly, we would not be judged” (1 Corinthians 11:31).

You may say: But Dick started reading what you sent. When he started it I do not know. But what was his word? That is the question. Was it read in two days as he committed? If he could not keep that word, then he should have contacted me and told me of his difficulty in keeping it and asked for an extension. Remember, one's word is their vow, and one must be exceptionally conscious to keep it to its precise commitment, to its precise words. Words are very exact, and they have to be honored, otherwise they mean nothing.

And let us note here as well, this is not just in regard to one's word given to an adult, but to children as well. A parent TRAINS their children to sin when they tell them not to do something and allow them to do it without consequences. This is even moreso true if the parent tells them of the consequences and then does not carry them out. THEY MUST keep their word and not train them to be a covenant breaker. This is true in correction, as well as in other commitments. Parent, KEEP YOUR WORD. When it cannot be kept, which should only be for just or unavoidable cause, clearly explain why to the child. Otherwise, you are a liar and covenant breaker. Do not give or speak your word rashly, but deliver it and uphold it as being a sacred oath that is not a shifting shadow. Again, your word is your covenantal oath, passing between your split-part lips. Keep it!

OBEDIENT PEOPLE

If you think all of this is too hard, you need to embrace it. A king is coming who is not here by virtue of popular democratic choice, but by virtue of theocratic rule. Immanuel is coming in the glory of the Father with His angels, and He will recompense every man according to his deeds (Matthew 16:27). His coming this time will be in His masculine role and not in His feminine role. Instead of bearing a cross, He will rule with a rod of iron. So, you can either change now, or change later. As Paul said, "But if we judged ourselves rightly, we would not be judged."

To Christians who have played church and despised Yahweh's government, Yahshua has warned:

"Not everyone who says to Me, 'Lord, Lord,' will enter the kingdom of heaven, but he who does the will of My Father who is in heaven *will enter*. Many will say to Me on that day, 'Lord, Lord, did we not prophesy in Your name, and in Your name cast out demons, and in Your name perform many miracles?' And then I will declare to them, 'I never knew you; depart from Me, you who practice lawlessness' " (Matthew 7:21-23).

Likewise Yahshua warned:

"For whoever is ashamed of Me and My words in this adulterous and sinful generation [particularly relevant to today], the Son of Man will also be ashamed of him when He comes in the glory of His Father with the holy angels" (Mark 8:38).

And He is not just talking about someone saying they are a Christian. It is LIVING Him—not looking like the world, not talking like the world, not acting like the world,

not doing the things of the world, but living righteously, godly, according to His government, His ways, and His example. As John stated, "By this we know that we are in Him: the one who says he abides in Him ought himself to walk in the same manner as He walked" (1 John 2:5-6).

Christianity has been pathetic in that they teach "grace" to the point of licentiousness (Jude 1:4). "Jesus loves you just the way you are" is not true. This pabulum is an invitation to sin. In contrast we read:

You have not yet resisted to the point of shedding blood in your striving against sin; and you have forgotten the exhortation which is addressed to you as sons, "My son, do not regard lightly the discipline of the Lord, nor faint when you are reprov'd by Him; for whom the Lord loves He disciplines, and scourges every son whom He receives." It is for discipline that you endure; God deals with you as with sons; for what son is there whom *his* father does not discipline? But if you are without discipline, of which all have become partakers, then you are illegitimate children and not sons (Hebrews 12:4-8).

The book of Hebrews with its message of entering into Yahweh's rest of the Millennial reign, of entering into the veil as an anchor of hope for others, is unmistakably a book to and about the Remnant. Therefore, we are wise to give heed to its sober instruction. Its message, along with that of James and John, is not what Christianity teaches. They are moreso followers of Paul. Instead of it being "Jesus loves you just the way you are," in Hebrews we read:

It is a terrifying thing to fall into the hands of the living God (Hebrews 10:31).

And to those who would think you can do whatever you want to do and live however you want to live, you will come to a disturbing realization:

For if we sin willfully after receiving the knowledge of the truth, there no longer remains a sacrifice for sins, but a terrifying expectation of judgment and the fury of a fire which will consume the adversaries (Hebrews 10:26-27).

Therefore, since we receive a kingdom which cannot be shaken, let us show gratitude, by which we may offer to God an acceptable service with reverence and awe; for our God is a consuming fire (Hebrews 12:28-29).

Is all of this harsh and casting Yahweh and Yahshua into something they are not? Hardly. In fact, we are wisely being realistic about them and their righteous requirements. Grace IS NOT the right to do anything one pleases without consequences; rather, it is the strength to no longer walk in our old nature but to do what is righteous and good. Here are three passages more people should acknowledge:

The fear of Yahweh is the beginning of wisdom; a good understanding have all those who do *His commandments*; His praise endures forever (Psalm 111:10).

The fear of Yahweh is the beginning of knowledge; fools despise wisdom and instruction (Proverbs 1:7).

The fear of Yahweh is the beginning of wisdom, and the knowledge of the Holy One is understanding (Proverbs 9:10).

It is not wise to quote all of the fluff scriptures and ignore these that lead to wisdom and true knowledge.

In [Until Shiloh Comes](#), we address that the true translation of Genesis 49:10 is:

“The rod shall not depart from Judah,
Nor the decree from between his feet,
Until to whom it belongs comes,
Obedient people.”

As noted in that writing, there is no way this passage can possibly refer to Yahshua as the coming of Shiloh. How can the rod not depart from Judah until Yahshua, who is of the tribe of Judah, comes? Yahshua is Judah, so it would never depart from Judah if He was Shiloh. No, the Hebrew word used here must be translated according to its meaning—“to whom it belongs.” And to whom does this rod belong? It belongs to the obedient people. Who are those obedient people? They are the Bride who make themselves ready (Revelation 19:7).

Let us draw to a close with some final revealing testimony from this amazingly attesting temple of God—our human bodies. There is no question that our bodies are as functional as they are prophetic. And why not? The kingdom of heaven is all the more functional, so our bodies are in harmony with that heavenly pattern of usefulness. So, let us ask a question that, upon first consideration, seems to have a very obvious answer. Here is the question: Why is it that women were given breasts that produce milk? Obvious answer, right? To nurse the babies that women bear.

But let us now ask another question. What is the prophetic significance of milk? The answer to this is clearly set forth in the Scriptures—it is the basic teachings that are for spiritual babes, those who are fleshly. Paul wrote to the Corinthians, “I gave you milk to drink, not solid food; for you were not yet able *to receive it*. Indeed, even now you are not yet able, for you are still fleshly, and are you not walking like mere men?” (1 Corinthians 3:2-3).

Now for yet another probing question. To whom did Yahweh give the display of glory on their chest—to the woman or the man? Clearly, Yahweh placed the attesting glory of hair on the man. And what is the difference between a man’s chest and a woman’s chest, other than this difference in glory. The woman’s chest bears the breasts, while the man’s chest, though having nipples, is comprised of strong muscle. Do you now see the testimony, once again in the difference between the woman and the man?

The woman is the weaker vessel and is not allowed to teach. In this weakness she provides milk to the babes. This is what the feminine first Remnant provided, and this is what Yahshua even provided in His feminine coming. Just as He said to His disciples, “I have many more things to say to you, but you cannot bear *them* now” (John 16:12). In His feminine coming He gave men milk.

Then what does the male offer from his breasts? Remember, he does have nipples that equally identify nourishment. But as Paul contrasted with milk, the male work that has

the right to teach provides, as his strong chest affirms, solid food. This is the food that Immanuel will teach when He comes in His masculine role, and it is the food you are eating right now from the masculine second Remnant. And for both Immanuel, as well as the second Remnant, these have the attesting glory that the former did not have. May Yahweh fulfill His word and glorify His name.

Having noted this concerning milk, and drawing from what we have seen already, why does man's flesh entice him so to look on the breasts and posteriors of women? You can be certain that the natural once again prophesies of the spiritual. Spiritually, why is the flesh of the man so enticed by these? Because flesh man desires only the milk and dung of Christianity, leading to lack, defilement, and the ruin of the kingdom.

Speaking of a child maturing, Proverbs 22:6 now has a whole new meaning. Literally it says, "Train up a child in the way; moreover, when he is bearded he will not depart." The first Remnant was called "the way." They were never called Christians. Moreover, when the Remnant are bearded and bring forth Immanuel, we will not depart.

It is indeed my hope that what is written here will be a burning coal, a rizzpah, that will be applied to your lips, your split covenant parts, and cleanse them. As it is written in Amos 4:12, so let us be mindful of the weight and requirement of this sober time. Following Yahweh's chastisement, He declared:

"Because I will do this to you, **prepare to meet your God.**"

Prepare!